

## Solicitors' Journal.

LONDON, MAY 8, 1880.

## CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS:—	
Applications relating to Commissioners for Oaths .....	517
Consents to Extension of Time .....	517
The Cause Books at the Central Office .....	517
The Suggested Candidature of the Master of the Rolls .....	517
The late Mr. Cartwright .....	518
LEADERS:—	
The Parliamentary Oath .....	518
The Judicial Statistics .....	519
GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE .....	
LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL .....	520
CASES OF THE WEEK:—	
Real, Ex parte .....	521
Snell, Ex parte .....	521
Canadian Land Reclaiming and Colonising Company, In re The .....	522
Greaves v. Toftell .....	522
Mitchell v. Henry .....	522
Ward v. Ward .....	523
Financial Corporation (Limited), In re .....	523
Davis v. Artingstall .....	523
Pike v. Fitz-Gibbon .....	523
APPOINTMENTS, ETC. ....	
COMPANIES .....	525
SOCIETIES .....	525
ORITARY .....	525
The Appointment of Solicitor of Inland Revenue in Ireland .....	526
CREDITORS' CLAIMS .....	526
SOLICITORS' CASES .....	427
COURT PAPERS .....	528
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c. ....	529

## CASES REPORTED IN THE WEEKLY REPORTER.

Anglo-French Co-operative Society, In re The (Ch.Div. V.C.H.) .....	580
Aveland, Lord, Appellant, v. Lucas, Respondent (App.) .....	571
Belton v. Ferro (Ch.Div. V.C.B.) .....	578
Brown, In re. Tyas v. Brown (Ch.Div. V.C.M.) .....	575
Corbett, Ex parte. In re Shand (App.) .....	565
Fourth City Mutual Benefit Building Society v. Williams. Marson v. Cox (Ch.Div. M.R.) .....	572
Fowlers v. Walker (Ch.Div. V.C.B.) .....	579
Grear v. Poole (Q.B.Div.) .....	582
Home Investment Society (Limited), In re (Ch.Div. V.C.M.) .....	576
Liddiard, In re (Ch.Div. V.C.M.) .....	574
McAlister v. The Bishop of Rochester and others (C.P.Div.) .....	584
Pierpoint v. Cartwright and others (C.P.Div.) .....	583
Tilney v. Stanfield (Ch.Div. V.C.H.) .....	582
Yeatman v. Snow (Ch.Div. V.C.M.) .....	574

## CURRENT TOPICS.

WE ARE AUTHORIZED to state that, in future, applications relating to commissions for oaths will be received on any sitting-day of the House of Lords, and will not be confined to Thursdays, as heretofore.

"ONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO KNOW" asks what solicitors are to do under circumstances such as he relates with regard to summonses for time under the new provision as to consents. It appears that, after waiting until the last day but one, he wrote to the opposite side for their consent to an extension of time. Not receiving a reply up to three o'clock on the last day (only an hour before the offices closed), he issued the summons. But while the clerk was absent on this errand, the consent arrived, delivered by hand, and when the summons came to be heard the master indorsed "no order," the result being to saddle the applicant with costs. We may suggest that, under such circumstances, it may be advisable for solicitors, when writing to the opposite side, to limit a reasonable time within which a reply should be received, in order to prevent the issue of a summons. If this precaution is taken, probably the "discretion of the taxing-master" will be favourably influenced.

A CORRESPONDENT calls attention to what appears to be a somewhat serious matter for solicitors who have constantly to attend at the Central Office, in order to inspect the record of appearances and other proceedings in actions. It appears that the books containing these records are sent away from the office to be bound, although they may be of very recent date and in constant use, and our correspondent lost a day through having to go to the binders' premises to inspect the record which ought to have been found at the Central Office. We believe that when the chancery records were with the Clerks of Records and Writs in Chancery-lane the records never left the premises, a binder being kept continually at work upon them in a room appropriated for the purpose; and it must have been within the experience of many of our readers to have inspected a record while in the hands of this binder. There seems to be no reason why a like course should not be followed with regard to all the records in the Central Office, and it is certainly undesirable that these documents should be sent to places where they may run considerable risk of accidental destruction. While we are upon the subject, we may express a hope that before long arrangements will be made for executing within the building all the printing required by the various offices. The amount of printing required is now so great that a staff of compositors might be kept employed, and a considerable saving effected to the public service.

A DAILY CONTEMPORARY has vouchsafed a very confident opinion that, under the provisions of the Judicature Acts, the present Master of the Rolls is disqualified from sitting in the House of Commons. It may be worth while to see what ground there is for this statement. The Judicature Act, 1873, by section 9, enacted that "No judge of either of the said courts" [i.e., the High Court or Court of Appeal] "shall be capable of being elected to, or of sitting in, the House of Commons"; but by section 11 "every existing judge, who is by this Act made a judge of the High Court of Justice . . . shall, as to tenure of office, rank, title, salary, pension, patronage, and powers of appointment or dismissal, and all other privileges and disqualifications, remain in the same condition as if this Act had not passed; and subject to the change effected in their jurisdiction and duties by or in pursuance of the provisions of this Act, each of the said existing judges shall be capable of performing, and liable to perform, all duties which he would have been capable of performing, or liable to perform in pursuance of any Act of Parliament, law, or custom if this Act had not passed." By section 100 "existing" means existing at the time appointed for the commencement of the Act. Section 9 of the Act of 1873 was repealed and re-enacted by the Act of 1875 (section 5,) which, by section 1, is to be construed as one with the Act of 1873. At the time of the commencement of that Act the present Master of the Rolls was an existing judge by the Act made a judge of the High Court of Justice, and therefore remained in the same condition as to "privileges and disqualifications" as if the Act had not passed. The capacity to be elected to and to sit in the House of Commons, being an exception to a disqualification, is plainly within the proper meaning of the word "privilege." The question which remains is, therefore, whether the generality of the provision of section 11 of the Act of 1873 is limited by the special provision of section 5 of the Act of 1875. As we read it, the effect of the first-mentioned section is absolutely to remove the existing judges from the operation of the Judicature Act as regards certain matters, including privileges and disqualifications; and in effect to restrict the "judge" referred to in section 9 to "future judge;" and it is to be observed, in confirmation of this view, that in section 14 the same term—"judge of the High Court of Justice" is plainly used in reference, exclusively, to

future judges (see the marginal note.) The question of the propriety of the proposed candidature is a wholly different and more doubtful one.

THE DEATH is announced of a member of the profession better known in sporting than in legal circles—Mr. William Sheward Cartwright, of Newport, Monmouthshire. Mr. Cartwright was articled in the city of Hereford in the year 1829, and was admitted a solicitor in 1834. He subsequently settled at Newport, and practised there for upwards of thirty years, but in 1866 finally retired from practice. Mr. Cartwright has been well known as an owner of racehorses since about the year 1850. He won the Derby in 1874 with George Frederick, but last year disposed of the whole of his racing stud with the exception of two horses.

### THE PARLIAMENTARY OATH.

NEITHER the Select Committee which has been appointed to inquire into Mr. Bradlaugh's case, nor the House of Commons itself, has any power to relax the conditions imposed by the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, and the Promissory Oaths Act, 1868. Except in the one debateable point, those statutes are drawn in unmistakable language. The Act of 1866, after prescribing a form of oath for which the form prescribed in the Act of 1868 is substituted, and ending with the formula, "So help me, God," enacts by section 4 that "every person of the persuasion called Quakers, and every other person for the time being by law permitted to make a solemn affirmation or declaration instead of taking an oath, may, instead of taking and subscribing the oath, . . . make and subscribe a solemn affirmation in the form of the oath, . . . substituting the words 'solemnly, sincerely, and truly declare and affirm' for the word 'swear,' and omitting the words 'So help me, God.'" Then by section 5 it is enacted that "if any member of the House of Commons votes as such in the said House, or sits during any debate after the Speaker has been chosen, without having made and subscribed the oath, . . . he shall be subject to "a penalty of five hundred pounds," to be recovered by "action in one of her Majesty's superior courts at Westminster," and his seat shall be vacated as if he were dead. The Act of 1868, by section 2, prescribes the following "oath of allegiance":—

I do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to her Majesty Queen Victoria, her heirs and successors, according to law. So help me, God.

By section 8 the above form "shall be deemed to be substituted . . . in the case of the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, for the form of the oath thereby prescribed. By section 11, "when an oath is required to be taken under this Act, every person for the time being by law permitted to make a solemn affirmation," &c., may make such affirmation instead of the oath, and by section 14 "nothing in this Act shall affect" (*inter multos alios*) "the Clerical Subscription Act, 1865, or the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, except in relation to the form of oath in manner hereinbefore mentioned."

Mr. Bradlaugh, it is understood, wishes to bring himself within the exception of section 4 of the Act of 1866 as being one of the "other persons," not being Quakers, "for the time being by law permitted to make a solemn affirmation or declaration." Now, it is to be observed in the first place that the statute contains no express reference to the series of Acts from 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 29 to 33 & 34 Vict. c. 49, downwards, which were passed to enable affirmations to be made by witnesses, or to the Act 30 & 31 Vict. c. 35, which was passed to allow jurors to affirm instead of swear, and which contains no words applicable to persons having no religious belief. But we think it may be taken as a reasonable intend-

ment that these Acts are the "law for the time being" referred to, and that if Mr. Bradlaugh can bring himself within them, or any of them, he may safely affirm under the Parliamentary Oaths Act. That two of the Acts—32 & 33 Vict. c. 68, and 33 & 34 Vict. c. 49—are later in date than both the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, and the Promissory Oaths Act, 1868, makes no difference. The Legislature had clearly met that difficulty by the use of the words "for the time being"—a not uncommon formula in modern statutes.

But Mr. Bradlaugh not being of the persuasion called Quakers, is he one of the other persons by law permitted to affirm? In other words, is the rule "*ejusdem generis*" too strong for him? Here there is very great room for doubt. The rule itself has led more often than not to injustice, but viewed as a rule of construction it is far too firmly established to be departed from. (See the numerous cases collected in Maxwell on Statutes, pp. 297—302.) True it is that in the greater number of cases the enumerated particulars have been many. (See, for instance, *Sandeman v. Breach*, 7 B. & C. 96, in which it was held that the words "tradesman, artificer, workman, labourer, or other person whatsoever" did not include a coach proprietor), but in *Williams v. Golding* (14 W. R. 60, L. R. 1 C. P. 69), it was held that the words "district surveyor, or other person" included only persons having an official duty, and in *Watson v. Martin* (34 L. J. M. C. 50), that the words "at or with any table or instrument of gaming" did not include halfpence used for tossing for money, a decision significantly got rid of by 31 & 32 Vict. c. 52, s. 3.

It may be well to compare the Act 32 & 33 Vict. c. 68 by which persons having no religious belief affirm in a court of justice with the Act 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 20 (the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854), which provides for conscientious objectors. The formula provided by the Act of 1854 is

I, A. B., do solemnly, sincerely, and truly affirm and declare that the taking an oath is according to my religious belief unlawful, and I do also solemnly, sincerely, and truly affirm and declare, &c.

The formula provided by 32 & 33 Vict. c. 68 is

I solemnly promise and declare that the evidence by me given to the court shall be the truth, and nothing but the truth.

This promise is to be made "if the presiding judge is satisfied that the taking of an oath would have no binding effect" on the objector's conscience. It might be objected that this statute is so obviously passed *alio intuitu* that it can be in no way be prayed in aid of the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, but it will be observed that the formula need not be used—the Act of 1866 providing its own formula (since superseded by the Act of 1868), and that the machinery of the mind of the presiding judge being brought to bear may be disregarded. Mr. Bradlaugh appears to be well within the statute if he be one of the "other persons." On the whole, we incline to think that he is not one of the other persons designated by the Act of 1866, because we think the canon of construction to which we have referred above would press too strongly against his case.

But yet a further question remains. The Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866, indeed, designates Quakers, but the Promissory Oaths Act, 1868, is free from that objection. The words of section 8 are simply, "When an oath is required to be taken under this Act, every person for the time being by law permitted, &c., may affirm," &c. If Mr. Bradlaugh can bring himself within this latter Act he is safe enough. Is, then, the parliamentary oath "required to be taken" under the Promissory Oaths Act, 1868? In one sense, no doubt, it is. The words of section 8 are "the form of the oath . . . under this Act" shall be deemed to be substituted for the form of the oath under the Parliamentary Oaths Act, 1866. But we think it would be mere playing with words to say that the oath is "required" by the Act of 1868.

Upon all grounds, therefore, we incline to think that Mr. Bradlaugh is disqualified. His case is a *casus omisus*, like that of the juror under 30 & 31 Vict. c. 35, already referred to, which only an Act of Parliament can remedy. It is a *casus omisus* which we should expect to find in a series of statutes passed to remedy religious grievances, each one of which advances an infinitesimal step beyond its predecessor.

### THE JUDICIAL STATISTICS.

#### REFORMATORIES AND CRIMINAL LUNATICS.

THE number of certified reformatory schools in England and Wales in 1877-8 was 54, or one more than in the preceding year, a new school for girls having been opened at Parson's Green, London. The number of offenders committed to these schools in the year ended 29th of September, 1878, was 1,584, or 1,302 males, and 282 females; showing an increase of 113 as compared with the total number for 1876-7, and making the aggregate commitments to reformatories since the passing of the Act 17 & 18 Vict. c. 86, to amount to 27,664—viz., 22,527 males, and 5,137 females. Of the 1,584 offenders committed in 1877-8, the terms of detention were as follows:—2 years, 43, 2-3 years, 221; 3-4 years, 286; 4-5 years, 1,034. Of the whole number, 231 were committed after conviction or indictment, and 1,533, or 85·4 per cent. upon summary conviction. At the commencement of the year there were 4,779 offenders under detention, and during the year, as we have seen, 1,584 were committed, while 7 were received from other certified reformatory schools, and 28 from prison after confinement for refractory conduct, &c.; 11 were re-admitted after absconding the previous year, and 89 returned from licence, &c.—making 6,498 as the total number under detention during the year. Of these, 114 were discharged by order from the Secretary of State; 528 were discharged on completion of term; 864 were permitted out on licence, &c.; 30 absconded and were not retaken; 36 were removed to prison for refractory conduct; 12 were sent to other certified reformatory schools; and 31 died; leaving 4,883 under detention at the end of the year. The state of instruction of the offenders committed was as follows:—610 could neither read nor write; 830 could read or read and write imperfectly; 140 could read and write well; 3 possessed superior education; and the intellectual condition of 1 could not be ascertained. The total amount payable by her Majesty's Treasury on account of these schools for the year was £72,751 15s. 8d., being an increase upon the sum for the previous year of £3,210 10s. 11d.; and the amount recovered from parents was £5,697 5s. 4d., or £272 7s. 8d. less than in 1876-7.

The commitments under the Middlesex Industrial Schools Acts to the school at Feltham during the year ended September 29, 1878, amounted to 49, against 54 in the preceding year, making, with 140 commitments under 29 & 30 Vict. c. 118, a total of 189, against 273 in 1876-7. The number in the school at the commencement of the year was 129, making, with the 49 committed, 16 returned from licence, and 1 received from prison after confinement for refractory conduct, 195 as the total number under detention during the year, exclusive of those under the Industrial Schools Act. Of these, 19 were discharged by order of the Secretary of State, 22 on completion of term of detention, 2 permitted out on licence under clause 3 of the amended Act, and 18 on licence or on service, leaving 134 under detention at the end of the year. The gross cost per head per annum was £23 16s. 2d., and the total amount recovered from parents was £216 19s. 9d.

There were, in 1877-8, 94 industrial schools certified under the Act of 29 & 30 Vict. c. 118, in which children were detained, the names of five new ones appearing for the first time in the tables. These are the Working Boys' Home at Hereford, the ship *Mount Edgumbe* at

Saltash, the ship *Olio* in the Menai Straits, one at Northumberland-terrace, Liverpool, for girls, and the Shibden, for boys, at Halifax. Two were discontinued. The number under detention at the beginning of the year was 8,934; 2,620 were committed during the year; 13 were re-admitted after absconding; 131 were received from reformatories or other industrial schools; and 89 returned from leave of absence, &c.; making 11,787 under detention during the year. Of these, 160 were removed to other schools; 1,386 were discharged; 76 died; 29 absconded and were not retaken; and 586 went out on licence, leave of absence, or service; leaving 9,550 as the number in the schools at the end of the year. The total costs of the children amounted to £180,976, as against £159,270 in 1877; and the amounts recovered from parents in the two years respectively were £11,208 and £10,209.

The number of criminal lunatics under detention at the various asylums, hospitals, and licensed houses during the year ended September 29, 1878, was 867, against 889 in 1876-7. They were distributed as follows:—Broadmoor, 526; county asylums, 263; city and borough asylums, 16; hospitals, 2; metropolitan licensed houses, 2; provincial licensed houses, 58. Under the Act of 30 Vict. c. 12, pursuant to which criminal lunatics whose term of punishment has expired are not afterwards to be considered as criminals, but are to be treated as pauper lunatics, 124 ceased during the year to be considered as criminals, and were removed or discharged; 25 died; 3 escaped; 24 were removed or discharged on becoming sane; 11 were removed sane for trial or imprisonment; and 10 were removed to other asylums, leaving at the end of the year 670 under detention as criminal lunatics. The offences with which they have been charged were in 240 cases murder, in 5 concealment of birth and infanticide, in 158 manslaughter and attempts to murder, in 34 rape, assault, and unnatural crimes, in 135 larceny and petty theft, in 43 burglary and housebreaking, in 58 arson and other malicious offences, in 23 vagrancy, and in 171 other miscellaneous crimes. Of the whole number, 181 had been found insane, 288 acquitted insane, 204 removed by Home Secretary's warrant as insane after committal, 192 so removed as insane after trial, and 2 committed as dangerous by justices under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 14. The total expenses amounted to £32,983, chargeable as follows:—County rates, £3,197; borough rates or funds, £451; union or parish rates, £10,140; public revenues, £17,657; and private funds, £1,538. The average cost per head in the State Asylum at Broadmoor was £53 13s. 7d., at the 46 county lunatic asylums in which criminal lunatics were confined during the year, £26 5s. 5d., and at 7 city and borough asylums £32 0s. 3d. The lowest average cost per head for the county asylums was £20 10s. 2d. for Dorsetshire, and the highest £36 10s. for Bedfordshire. At the city and borough asylums the lowest average cost per head was in the case of Birmingham, where it amounted to £23 8s. In licensed houses a few cases remain at high rates paid from private funds.

Mr. Charles Morton, W.S., Edinburgh, has been appointed Crown Agent for Scotland.

On the 4th inst., Sidney Toppin, 9, Cloak-lane, Cannon-street, solicitor, appeared before Mr. Vaughan, to a summons charging him with wilful and corrupt perjury. The alleged perjury was contained in an affidavit sworn by the defendant in connection with some bankruptcy proceedings, to the effect that Mr. Pooley, the bankrupt, was indebted to him in the sum of £75, and that he had no security for that amount. It was alleged, on behalf of the prosecution, that the defendant had sold the debt to the liquidator of the Metropolitan Bank, and received a cheque for the amount, and evidence was called to show that the amount of the debt had been offered to the defendant, and refused, before adjudication was asked for. Mr. Vaughan committed the defendant for trial, accepting two sureties in £25 for his appearance.



## General Correspondence.

**TO CORRESPONDENTS.**—All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer. The Editor cannot undertake to return MSS. forwarded to him.

### THE NEW ORDERS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—Since my letter to you under this head of last week, which only alluded to the common law side, I have been informed that the officials of the Chancery Division have taken the same view of the new orders and refuse to give time, unless a previous application has been made to the other side for a consent and refused.

From inquiries I have made, the loss to the Revenue has been much under-estimated by me. Take the Chancery Division, however, to be the same as the Common Law Divisions, and you have a total loss of £30,000 per year.

In addition, the construction put upon the rule is causing great inconvenience to agency and other firms doing a large common law and chancery business.

8, Ely-place, London, May 3.

J. F. GILES.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—It is certainly important that the new practice as to obtaining "time" should be settled by some higher authority than the fluctuating decisions of the "masters," for at present it is not safe to take out a summons for time, although the omission to do so may enable an opponent to snatch a judgment. As an example, on Tuesday last an application was made by letter for an extension of time. No answer having been received up to three o'clock on Wednesday afternoon, a clerk was sent down to issue a summons. While he was gone a consent was delivered. At the return of the summons this morning, the circumstances were explained to the master, who nevertheless indorsed "no order," the consequence being that the applicant must in any event pay the costs, although no alternative was open but to take out the summons, or run the risk to which I have alluded.

What are solicitors to do?

May 6.

ONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO KNOW.

### BINDING THE CAUSE BOOKS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—I beg to call the attention of your readers and the profession generally to what I consider is the means of causing a great amount of unnecessary trouble and delay in dispatch of business, and which might lead to rather serious consequences. It is the practice, at the Central Office, of sending the cause books a great distance from that office to be bound.

Wishing to search appearance and sign judgment, &c., on the 28th ult., in an action commenced in the Common Pleas Division at the end of October last, I attended at the Central Office for that purpose, but on presenting my *præcipe* for search, was informed that the cause books were at Messrs. Waterlow's, Hill-street, Finsbury, for the purpose of being bound, and I was handed a permit addressed to that firm to inspect the book. As it was then twenty minutes to four there was, under the circumstances, no chance of doing anything that day.

On the following day I again called at the Central Office and found that the books were still at Messrs. Waterlow's, and eventually I was forced to go to Hill-street and back before judgment could be signed and execution issued.

It is needless for me to point out what might have been the result of this unnecessary delay. But apart

from that I think it very wrong that the cause books should be allowed to go out of the building without a duplicate being provided for means of reference. They might accidentally be destroyed, as a bookbinder's shop is not the safest place in which to keep books of that description. Surely some arrangement might be made for having the books bound at, or at least near, the Central Office, where they could be seen if required without any difficulty.

I am sure the authorities at the Central Office, who are most obliging in studying the interests of the profession and public, will, on learning the trouble that they unknowingly cause, only be too willing to remedy the evil.

April 30.

A READER.

## Law Students' Journal.

### INCORPORATED LAW SOCIETY.

#### FINAL EXAMINATION.

The following gentlemen passed the final examination held in April last:—

Adam, C. W. M., LL.B.	Huggins, C. F.
Barber, T. G.	Hughes, Thos.
Barradale, A. W.	Hulbert, Thos.
Belfield, A.	Hutchinson, C. H. G.
Bevir, E.	Ives, H. T.
Bewes, R. A.	Jackson, Thomas, jun., B.A.
Birkett, J. E.	Leigh, J. E. R. N.
Boddington, R. St. J., B.A.	Lockett, G. B.
Brett, J. G.	Marsden, J. N.
Browett, Wm.	Mathew, J. W. W., M.A.
Buckingham, A. W.	Mawdsley, W. E.
Burgess, J.	Mellish, T. C.
Candy, C. H. H.	Merivale, Chas., B.A.
Cartwright, E.	Miller, J. E.
Chamier, E. F., B.A.	Moore, J. T.
Champney, A. C.	Moore, W. H. H.
Coulson, E. S.	Morris, J. J.
Crosfield, H. C.	Owen, E. T.
Curtis, C.	Payze, R. G.
Danby, T. W.	Phillips, T. H.
Darlington, W. R.	Postlethwaite, Wm., B.A.
Davies, W. R.	Rhodes, F.
Delfosse, D. C.	Robinson, C. E.
Denton, C. A., B.A.	Rose, H. N.
Donner, H. E.	Seddon, Wm., LL.B.
Edwards, M. W., B.A.	Smith, F.
Ellidge, E.	Smith, J. Muir
Elliott, H. G. V.	Sorby, Percy
Fabling, A.	Stevenson, H.
Fletcher, C. H. E.	Stokes, A. S.
Fraser, W. F.	Swift, J. O.
Gater, W. H., B.A.	Tarry, John
Green, J. T.	Teesdale, E. T. M.
Green, T. M.	Trenchard, W. J.
Hancock, S. J.	Tucker, A.
Harrison, G. E.	Tully, W. G.
Hedwith, W. A.	Welsh, E.
Hicks, E. F., B.A.	White, S., B.A., LL.B.
Hickson, J. G., B.A.	White, T. B.
Higginson, W. F.	Whowell, J. J.
Higman, H. W.	Williams, W. C., B.A.
Hills, C. H.	Wooton, Thos.

### GRAY'S INN MOOT SOCIETY.

A meeting of this society was held on Thursday evening, the 29th ult., when the following question was argued before Alfred Wills, Esq., Q.C.: "A was manager to B. B. owed him £250 for salary. B. also owed C. £150. A. by falsely representing to B. that C. owed A. £150, and that it had been agreed between A. and C. that B. should pay the £150 to A. instead of to C., and that B.'s debt to C. should be satisfied by such payment, induced B. to give him a cheque for £400. A. altered the cheque into one for £1,400, and

obtained from B.'s bank £1,300 in notes and £100 in gold. A. also stole from B.'s strong box £600 in gold. A. had a banking account at another bank, which was overdrawn to the extent of £400. He paid into his bank £1,200 in notes and £600 in gold, part of the identical proceeds of the above transaction; whether the £600 included any portion of the £100 obtained from B.'s bank or not it was impossible to ascertain. B. sues A.'s bank for £1,750. Is he entitled to recover anything? and if so, how much?" Mr. H. F. Boyd (Inner Temple), and Mr. F. B. de M. Gibbons (Gray's-inn); appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. A. R. Ingpen and Mr. Giles (Middle Temple) for the bank. The learned president gave judgment in favour of the plaintiff for £500. The notice in our last issue as to the moot to be held on the 29th inst. referred to the above meeting. There will be two meetings in the ensuing term, the dates of which are not as yet definitely fixed.

#### LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

The weekly meeting of this society was held at the Law Institution, Chancery-lane, on Tuesday evening last. Mr. A. E. Hemsley in the chair. The subject appointed for the evening's debate was the following: "Are there the elements of permanency in a Liberal Government?" Mr. Lloyd Jones opened the question in the negative. Messrs. W. Bartlett, Israel Abrahams, Wright, Napier, and Hemsley supported the affirmative, and Messrs. C. J. Etherington, B.A., A. M. Ellis, LL.B., and W. H. Skelton, the negative. Mr. Lloyd Jones having replied, the question was decided in the negative by a majority of one.

#### UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

A meeting of the above society was held at Clement's-inn Hall on the evening of Wednesday last. Mr. W. Dowson presided. The meeting devoted itself to the discussion of business motions, and, in particular, motions were carried giving greater facilities for the use of books from the library, and of which it is expected that members will promptly and beneficially avail themselves. On Wednesday next, the 12th inst., Mr. E. F. Spence will open the subject for debate, "That it is desirable that marriage with a deceased wife's sister should be made legal," and it is hoped that members and their friends will then largely attend.

#### BIRMINGHAM LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

A meeting of this society was held in the Law Library, on Tuesday, May 4, Mr. J. E. Deakin, M.A., LL.M., in the chair. After the transaction of the special business, a debate took place on the following moot point: "Criminal Code Bill (43 Vict. 1880), section 24, enacts that 'No presumption shall be made that a married woman committing an offence does so under compulsion, because she commits it in the presence of her husband.' Is this alteration of the law desirable?"—Stephen's Commentaries, 4th vol., p. 32 (7th ed.); Stephen's General View of the Criminal Law of England, p. 84; Stephen's Digest of the Criminal Law, article 30, p. 17, and note ii., p. 332; Harris' Principles of the Criminal Law, p. 29; Russell on Crimes, 1st vol., p. 139 (5th ed.); Burn's Justice of the Peace, 5th vol., p. 1235. The speakers in the affirmative were Messrs. Davis, Smith, Gover, King, Ludlow, Dennison, and Rogers, and in the negative Messrs. Barrows, Cochrane, Samuel, and Crosskey. At the conclusion of a very animated debate, the chairman carefully reviewed the arguments of both sides and put the question to the meeting, which was carried in the affirmative by a majority of six votes. A vote of thanks to the chairman concluded the meeting.

#### LIVERPOOL LAW STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION.

The eighth meeting of the session of this association was held on the 19th of April, F. M. Hall, Esq., solicitor, in the chair. Mr. T. S. Mills was elected secretary, in the place of Mr. F. J. Leslie, who had resigned. The new secretary then brought forward a motion to the following effect:—"That a hearty vote of thanks be accorded to Mr. F. J. Leslie for his valuable services and unwearied exertions as hon. secretary of this association." The motion was seconded by Mr. A. Wilson, and, after being

supported by several members, was carried with acclamation. During Mr. Leslie's secretaryship, and mainly through his exertions, the association has increased its members from seventy to over 230, and from being a mere debating society has assumed the character of an educational institution. It is to be hoped that the association will maintain the flourishing condition into which it has been brought by Mr. Leslie. A discussion afterwards took place upon the following subject:—"A. buys goods from C. through C.'s agent B. On receipt of the goods, A. writes a letter to B., in which he says, 'C.'s goods are of short weight, and perfectly valueless, and C. knew of this. I consider such conduct fraudulent, and C. is a disgrace to our business, and a cheat.' Is such a communication privileged?" Mr. H. S. Clegg opened the debate in the affirmative, and was followed by Mr. E. H. Blease in support of the negative. After a spirited discussion, on the question being put to the vote, it was decided in the negative by a majority of five.

### Cases of the Week.

PROOF IN BANKRUPTCY—CONTINGENT—LIABILITY—"INCAPABLE OF BEING FAIRLY ESTIMATED"—ANNUITY IN SEPARATION DEED—BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1869, s. 31.—In a case of *Ex parte Neal*, before the Court of Appeal on the 29th ult., the question arose whether a proof could be admitted in the bankruptcy of a husband for the value of an annuity which he had covenanted to pay to the trustees of a separation deed during the joint lives of himself and his wife on trust for her benefit. The deed provided that the annuity should cease in case the wife should not lead a chaste life, and in case the husband and wife should resume cohabitation. The annuity was also to be diminished proportionally in case the wife should become entitled to an income independently of her husband. Mr. Registrar Murray rejected the proof, on the ground that the value of the liability was incapable of being fairly estimated. The Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.JJ.) held that the case was substantially governed by *Ex parte Blakemore* (25 W. R. 483, L. R. 5 Ch. D. 372), in which it was held that the value of an annuity given to a widow during her widowhood was capable of being estimated. The proof was accordingly admitted.—SOLICITORS, James Neal; G. J. Jennings.

LIQUIDATION BY ARRANGEMENT—EXCESSIVE CHARGES FOR REMUNERATION OF TRUSTEES—APPLICATION BY CREDITOR FOR PAYMENT OF DIVIDEND.—In a case of *Ex parte Snell*, before the Court of Appeal on the 29th ult., an application had been made by a secured creditor of some liquidating debtors, after he had realized his security, for an order that the trustees should pay him a dividend on the balance remaining due to him, at the same rate as they had already paid to the other creditors. The judge of the Chelmsford County Court made the order asked for, on the ground that the trustees had assets in their hands applicable to the purpose. His reason for so holding was that the amounts which the trustees claimed to retain for their own remuneration, and the costs of their solicitors, were excessive. The assets realized amounted to about £2,100. The trustees claimed for their remuneration nearly £600, the solicitors' costs were stated at about £600, and the amount distributed in dividend was about £700. The trustees' remuneration had been sanctioned by two persons who had acted as a committee of inspection, and the accounts of the trustees had been passed by a general meeting of the creditors, which had been summoned to release the trustees and to fix the close of the liquidation. The creditors, at their first meeting, had appointed three persons as a committee of inspection, but one of those persons declined to act, and no one was ever appointed in his place. The trustees' remuneration was calculated with reference to the number of hours which they stated to have been employed by themselves and their clerks in the business of the liquidation, at the rate of payment per hour allowed by the London Bankruptcy Court. The Chief Judge affirmed the order, but, on the ground (which has since been held by the

the Court of Appeal in *Ex parte Good*, 28 W. R. 553, ante p. 403, to be untenable)—viz., that the creditor had sent in a sufficient proof of his debt before the declaration of the dividend, though he had not then either realized or valued his security. The Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.J.J.) affirmed the decision, on the ground that the sum claimed for the trustees' remuneration was, on the face of it, so monstrous and extortionate for the realization of such a small estate, and the payment of one dividend, that it was impossible that the number of hours stated to have been employed could have been honestly employed for the purpose. The court held that that, under the circumstances, there never was any committee of inspection validly appointed, and the voting of the trustees' remuneration by the two persons who affected to act as a committee went for nothing. JAMES, L.J., said that the audit of the trustees' accounts by the general meeting was a mere sham. And BRAMWELL, L.J., said the general meeting had power to audit the trustees' accounts, but they had no power to dispense with an audit, which was what they appeared to have really done. The court accordingly simply affirmed the order appealed, without directing, as they were asked to do, a fresh audit, or a quasi-taxation of the trustees' accounts.—SOLICITORS, *Tippett, Son, & Tickle*; *E. Woodard*.

**COMPANY—WINDING UP—DIRECTOR—MISFEASANCE—ACTING WITHOUT QUALIFICATION IN SHARES—COMPANIES ACT, 1862, s. 165.**—In a case of *In re The Canadian Land Reclaiming and Colonising Company*, before the Court of Appeal on the 1st inst., the question arose whether a director of a company could be made liable, under section 165, for a misfeasance, on the ground that he had acted as a director without acquiring any shares as a qualification in accordance with the provisions of the articles of association. Jessel, M.R., held that the director could not be deemed to have entered into any implied contract to take the qualifying number of shares; but his lordship held (*ante*, p. 271) that in not taking the shares the director had been guilty of a misfeasance towards the company, and that he must pay as damages the whole nominal amount of the shares which he ought to have taken. The Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.J.J.) reversed this decision, holding that, at any rate in the absence of any evidence that the company had sustained damage by the director's omission to acquire the shares, there had been no misfeasance for which he could be made liable. JAMES, L.J., thought that the Master of the Rolls had not been construing the Act, but had been rather legislating for the purposes of repressing and punishing a wrongful act. The Legislature had not thought fit to impose any penalty for the acting as a director without the proper qualification. Section 165 did not create any new liability or give any new right, but merely provided a more convenient machinery for the enforcing of previously existing rights and liabilities in the winding up of a company. In order to apply the machinery of section 165 it must be shown that there would have been some cause of action against the director if the company was not being wound up. By a "misfeasance" was meant something in the nature of a breach of trust; some act which had done wrong to the company, and had resulted in pecuniary loss to them. *De facto* directors, or directors *de son tort* as they had been called, would be liable for any acts of omission or commission in relation to the company in exactly the same way as if they had been directors *de jure*. In the present case no damage had resulted to the company. The director ought to have taken 100 shares, but there were in fact no shares to be taken. The company had issued a prospectus, which stated that 700 shares had already been taken, and the public were invited to subscribe for the remaining 1,400 shares, but it was stipulated that no shares should be issued unless the whole 1,400 were taken. His lordship was utterly at a loss to see that any damage had resulted to the company, or why the director should be liable for the value of the shares any more than for all the debts of the company. BRAMWELL, L.J., said that in his opinion it was impossible to hold that a man who had done nothing wrong, except that he had done an act without being qualified to do it, had been guilty of a misfeasance within the meaning of section 165. That section was intended to provide a summary remedy for acts for which there would also have been a remedy at

common law. It ought to be shown first that there had been a misfeasance; and, secondly, that damage had resulted to the company in respect of which the person guilty of the misfeasance was bound to make compensation, and the *onus* of proof was on the person who alleged that the company had sustained a loss.—SOLICITORS, *E. Beall*; *Wilde, Browne, & Wilde*.

**ANNUITY DEED—REGISTRATION—PRIORITY—NOTICE—18 & 19 VICT. c. 15.**—In a case of *Greaves v. Tofield*, before the Court of Appeal on the 3rd inst., the question arose whether the grantee of an annuity, charged by deed on land, was entitled to priority over some subsequent incumbrancers on the land, whose incumbrances had been created before the annuity deed had been registered under section 12 of the Act 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, but who had express notice of the annuity by means of recitals in their mortgage deeds. There was also a question whether the annuity was valid as against the trustee in the bankruptcy of the grantor. Section 12 provides that, until registration in the mode thereby prescribed, "Any annuity or rent-charge granted after the passing of this Act, otherwise than by marriage settlement, for one or more life or lives, or for any term of years, or greater estate determinable on one or more life or lives, shall not affect any lands, tenements, or hereditaments as to purchasers, mortgagees, or creditors." Jessel, M.R., held that, notwithstanding the notice to the subsequent mortgagees, they were entitled to priority over the annuitant, and that the trustee in bankruptcy was also entitled to priority. The Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.J.J.) reversed this decision, and held that the annuitant was entitled to priority over the subsequent incumbrancers, who had notice of the grant of the annuity, and also over the trustee in bankruptcy of the grantor. JAMES, L.J., said that there was nothing in section 12 of the Act to put an end to or affect the equitable doctrine as to notice laid down in such cases as *Le Neve v. Le Neve* (Amb. 436), and the trustee in bankruptcy could only take that which the bankrupt himself had. BRAMWELL, L.J., said that he concurred in the reversal of the decision of the Master of the Rolls with great doubt and reluctance, but, upon the authorities, he could come to no other conclusion. He could not, however, help thinking that it was the intention of the Legislature that a subsequent purchaser or incumbrancer was not to be affected by any notice but that derived from the register.—SOLICITORS, *Pilgrim & Phillips*; *Peacock & Goddard*; *Cattarns, Jelu, & Hughes*.

**TRADE-MARK—INJUNCTION—SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RIVAL MARKS—SELVAGE—DIFFERENT COLOURED INTERWOVEN THREADS.**—In a case of *Mitchell v. Henry*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 30th ult., a question was argued whether certain marks used by the defendants were an infringement of the plaintiffs' registered trade-marks. The plaintiffs had registered seven marks in respect of certain black mohair goods, the marks registered being a white selvage on each side of the piece, with a white thread interwoven running the full length of the selvage between the edge of the piece and the edge of the selvage, this white thread being interwoven in the different marks with threads coloured blue, red, yellow, violet, brown, green, and black. Owing to the difficulty of advertizing the marks, the original specimens had been exhibited at the Patent Museum, at Kensington. The defendant had sold goods having a black or dark selvage and with an interwoven thread at the edge of the piece comprised of three different strands, namely, red, white, and yellow. JESSEL, M.R., said that he had been somewhat unwilling to allow the plaintiffs' marks to be registered, having regard to their peculiarity and the difficulty of advertizing them, but he had not wished to act on his own opinion contrary to the wishes of the trade, and had accordingly allowed them to be registered. The marks could only be good in respect of the distinct device contained on the goods in respect of which they had been registered. What the plaintiffs evidently considered as the principal feature in their marks was the white selvage with the peculiar interwoven thread mottled with different cross-mixtures of two colours. The defendants had made their goods up with a thread composed of three colours, and in his opinion that was quite sufficient to distinguish



their goods from those of the plaintiffs and so prevent any infringement of their marks. The defendants, moreover, in effect, used a black selvaige, and no number of affidavits would convince him that black was white. Even if the traders at the place where the parties carried on business chose to call the defendants' selvaige a white selvaige, that fact was not mentioned on the register, and the defendant had not registered a "white" selvaige according to the custom of the trade of a particular place. The plaintiffs' case, therefore, failed, and the motion for an injunction must be dismissed with costs.—SOLICITORS, *Paterson, Snow, & Bloxam*, for *Gardiner & Jeffreys*, Bradford; *W. & J. Flower & Nussey*, for *Killick, Hutton, & Vint*, Bradford.

**SETTLEMENT—TRUST TO PAY ANNUITY TO HUSBAND AND WIFE—JUDGMENT CREDITOR OF HUSBAND—CLAIM TO WHOLE OF ANNUITY.**—In a case of *Ward v. Ward*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 1st inst., a question was raised whether a wife was entitled to any portion of an annuity to be paid to her husband and herself. By a deed executed upon a marriage, the lady's father covenanted with trustees to pay to them during his life an annuity of £60, to be held upon trust to pay the same to the husband and wife during their joint lives, and after the death of the husband to the wife. The husband had assigned the annuity to certain judgment creditors, and an order had been made directing certain sums to be paid to them. They had obtained on summons an order nisi against the trustees attaching the annuity, and an application was now made to make the order absolute. The covenantor had failed, and the trustees had proved against his estate for the value of the annuity and had purchased a Government annuity with the principal sum received. The wife now claimed the right to have half the annuity paid to her, or in the alternative she asserted her equity to a settlement out of the fund. *JESSEL, M.R.*, although considering it a hard case, held that the effect of the trust was to make the whole annuity payable to the husband, and therefore the same must be applied in payment of costs and subsequently in satisfaction of the judgment creditor's debt.—SOLICITORS, *Dixon, Ward, & Co.*; *Collyer-Bristow, Withers, & Russell*.

**COMPANY—MEMORANDUM—POWER TO TAKE SHARES IN ANOTHER COMPANY.**—In a case of *In re Financial Corporation (Limited)*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 3rd inst., a summons had been taken out by a Mr. Goodson, the holder of 100 shares in another company, asking that the above company might be ordered to repay to him certain calls which he had been compelled to pay on the 100 shares, on the ground that he held them as trustee for the Financial Corporation, and they were bound to indemnify him in respect thereof. A preliminary objection was taken that the purchase of the shares was *ultra vires* the company. By their memorandum of association the company was established (*inter alia*) for the "undertaking, assisting, and participating in financial, commercial, and industrial operations and undertakings both in England and abroad, and both singly or in connection with other persons, firms, companies, and corporations, as well as principal as agent." *JESSEL, M.R.*, was of opinion that the words were quite large enough to include a power to purchase shares in another company, and he accordingly overruled the preliminary objection.—SOLICITORS, *Lawrence, Pless, & Baker*; *Argles, Rand-Bailey, & Argles*.

**MARRIED WOMAN—SEPARATE BUSINESS—SALE OF STOCK-IN-TRADE BY HUSBAND'S ORDER—LIABILITY OF AUCTIONEER—INQUIRY AS TO DAMAGES—COSTS—MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1870 (33 & 34 VICT. c. 93), ss. 1, 11.**—In a case of *Davis v. Artingstall*, before Fry, J., on the 1st inst., the action was brought by a married woman, who had carried on a business separately from her husband, against the husband and some auctioneers who had, by his direction, put up for sale the stock-in-trade of the business, and had sold a considerable portion. The part which was not sold was given up to the husband. The plaintiff claimed to recover from the auctioneers the value of the whole of the property which they had put up for sale, both that which was actually sold and that which was in the husband's possession. After the property had been advertised for sale, and before the sale took place, the plaintiff gave notice to the auctioneers that

she claimed it as her separate property. *Fry, J.*, held, on the authority of *Ashworth v. Outram* (25 W. R. 296, L. R. 5 Ch. D. 923), that though section 1 of the Act in terms only protects the wages and earnings of a married woman "acquired or gained by her in any employment, occupation, or trade in which she is engaged, or which she carries on separately from her husband," yet the protection extends to the stock-in-trade and property employed by her in the separate business. The Act, as his lordship said, in terms protects only the fruit; the effect of the decision in *Ashworth v. Outram* is to protect also the tree which bears the fruit. And he held, upon the authority of *Williams v. Millington* (1 H. Black, 51), that the auctioneers had a possession coupled with an interest or a special property in the goods which were intrusted to them for sale, and that consequently they were liable for the fair value, both of the goods which were sold and of those which the husband had taken away, and his lordship directed an inquiry as to the fair value of all the goods. He gave the plaintiff her costs of the action up to and including the trial, but reserved the costs of the inquiry, on the ground that when damages have to be assessed it would generally be much better that the case should be tried by a jury, who could at once determine the amount of the damages, instead of having first a trial before a judge, and then a second trial in chambers, with possibly a reference to the judge again.—SOLICITORS, *R. W. Marsland*; *Matthews & Greetham*; *Milne, Riddle, & Mellor*.

**SEPARATE ESTATE OF MARRIED WOMAN—GENERAL ENGAGEMENT—RESTRAINT ON ANTICIPATION.**—In a case of *Pike v. Fitz-Gibbon*, before Vice-Chancellor Malins on the 5th inst., the question arose whether the general engagement of a married woman binds her separate estate acquired after the date of the contract; and whether it binds separate estate which, at the time of the contract, she was restrained from anticipating, if the restraint has since, by the death of her husband, become inoperative. *MALINS, V.C.*, held that in both cases the general engagement bound the separate estate. It may be added that the woman had some separate estate at the time of the contract, but the Vice-Chancellor considered this fact immaterial.—SOLICITORS, *Duffield & Bruty*; *Beaumont & Warren*, for *Stevens, Bawtree, & Stevens*, Witham, Essex; *Bray & Co.*

## Appointments, Etc.

The Hon. ANTHONY EVELYN MELBOURNE ASHLEY, barrister, M.P., who has been appointed Parliamentary Secretary to the Board of Trade, is the second son of the present Earl of Shaftesbury, and was born in 1838. He was educated at Harrow, and at Trinity College, Cambridge, and he was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Trinity Term, 1863. Mr. Ashley was formerly a member of the Oxford Circuit. He was formerly a treasurer of county courts, and was private secretary to Lord Palmerston. He represented Poole in the Liberal interest from May, 1874, until April last, when he was returned for the Isle of Wight.

Mr. JOHN BLAIR BALFOUR, advocate, has been appointed Solicitor-General for Scotland. Mr. Balfour was admitted a member of the Faculty of Advocates in Scotland in 1861.

Mr. THOMAS BRASSEY, barrister, M.P., who has been appointed a Civil Lord of the Admiralty, is the eldest son of the late Mr. Thomas Brassey, and was born in 1837. He was educated at Rugby and at University College, Oxford, where he graduated third class in law and modern history in 1859. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Hilary Term, 1866, and he has been M.P. for the borough of Hastings in the Liberal interest since 1868.

Mr. EDWARD ARUNDEL CARTER, solicitor, of Greenwich, has been elected, after a poll, Coroner for the Greenwich Division of the County of Kent, in succession to his father, the late Mr. Charles Joseph Carter.

Mr. WALTER HARRY WILSON CRUTTWELL, solicitor (of the firm of Cruttwell, Dadiel, & Cruttwell), of Frome, has been elected Clerk to the County Magistrates at that place, on the resignation of his father, Mr. Wilson Clement Cruttwell. Mr. W. H. W. Cruttwell was admitted a solicitor in 1874.

Sir CHARLES WENTWORTH DILKE, Bart., M.P., who has been appointed Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, is the eldest son of Sir Charles Wentworth Dilke. He was born in 1843, and succeeded his father in the baronetcy in 1869. He is an LL.M. of Trinity Hall, Cambridge, and graduated first class in the law tripos in 1865. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Easter Term, 1866, and he has been M.P. for the borough of Chelsea in the Liberal interest since 1868.

Mr. JOHN GAMON, solicitor and notary (of the firm of Parry, Gamon, & Farmer), of Chester, has been appointed Registrar of the newly-formed Diocese of Liverpool. Mr. Gamon was admitted a solicitor in 1860, and is also registrar of the diocese and archdeaconry of Chester, and secretary to the Bishop of Chester.

Mr. HENRY RICHARD GILES, solicitor, of Oswestry and Ellesmere, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for Shropshire for taking the Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women.

Mr. HENRY LEWIS GREGORY, solicitor, has been appointed a Magistrate for that borough, and also for the Borough of Southport. Mr. Gregory was admitted a solicitor in 1852.

Mr. FARRER HERSCHELL, Q.C., M.P., has been appointed Solicitor-General. Mr. Herschell was educated at University College, London, and graduated B.A. of the University of London in 1857. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn, in Michaelmas Term 1866, and he is a member of the Northern Circuit. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1872, and has been M.P. for the city of Durham in the Liberal interest since 1874. Mr. Herschell is a bencher of Lincoln's-inn, and recorder of the city of Carlisle.

Sir HENRY JAMES, Q.C., M.P., has been appointed Attorney-General. Sir H. James is the son of the late Mr. Philip Turner James, surgeon, of Hereford, and was born in 1828. He was educated at Cheltenham College, and was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Hilary Term, 1852. He formerly practised on the Oxford Circuit. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1869, and he is a bencher of the Middle Temple. He has been M.P. for Taunton in the Liberal interest since 1869, and in October, 1873, he succeeded Sir George Jessel as Solicitor-General, and received the honour of knighthood. Shortly afterwards he became Attorney-General, but in the following February he retired with his party.

The Right Hon. HUGH LAW, LL.D., Q.C., M.P., has been appointed Attorney-General for Ireland. Mr. Law is the only son of Mr. John Law, of Woodlawn, Down, and was born in 1818. He was educated at Trinity College, Dublin, and he was called to the bar in Ireland in 1840. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1860, and a bencher of the King's Inn in 1870. He was Solicitor-General for Ireland from November, 1872, till January 1874, when he was appointed Attorney-General and was sworn a member of the Irish Privy Council, but a few weeks afterwards he retired with his party. Mr. Law has been M.P. for the county of Londonderry in the Liberal interest since February, 1874.

Mr. EDMUND LEE, solicitor, of Bradford, has been appointed Registrar of the Bradford County Court (Circuit No. 11), to act jointly with the present registrar, Mr. George Robinson. Mr. Lee was admitted a solicitor in 1874, and has for some time acted as deputy-registrar of the court.

Mr. GEORGE MARSHALL, solicitor, of Retford and Tuxford, has been appointed a Magistrate for the Borough of East Retford. Mr. Marshall was admitted a solicitor in 1858, and is a member of the East Retford Town Council.

Mr. JOHN McLAREN, advocate, M.P., has been appointed Lord Advocate of Scotland. Mr. McLaren is the eldest son of Mr. Duncan McLaren, M.P. for Edinburgh, and was born in 1831. He was educated at the University of Edinburgh, and was admitted a member of the Faculty of Advocates in Scotland in 1856. He was for several years sheriff of Chancery in Scotland, and was recently elected M.P. for Wigtown in the Liberal interest. Mr. McLaren is the author of a work on the Scotch law of "Wills and Successions."

Mr. GEORGE OSBORNE MORGAN, Q.C., M.P., who has been appointed Judge Advocate-General, is the son of the Rev. Morgan Morgan, vicar of Conway, and was born in 1826. He was educated at Shrewsbury School and at

Worcester College, Oxford, where he graduated first class in classics in 1848. He obtained the Oravea Scholarship in 1844, the Newdegate Prize for English Verse in 1846, the Chancellor's English Essay Prize in 1850, and the Eldon Law Scholarship in 1851. He was subsequently elected Stowell Law Fellow of University College, and was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Trinity Term, 1853. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1869, and has practised for several years in the court of Vice-Chancellor Hall. Mr. Morgan has been M.P. for Denbighshire in the Liberal interest since 1868, and he is a magistrate for that county and a bencher of Lincoln's-inn.

The Right Hon. JOHN ROBERT MOWBRAY, barrister, M.P., has been created a Baronet. Sir J. Mowbray is the son of Mr. Robert Stribling Cornish, of Exeter. He was born in 1815, and assumed the name of Mowbray by Royal licence in 1847. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1841, and formerly practised on the Western Circuit. He was Judge Advocate-General from March, 1858, till June, 1859, and from July, 1866, till December, 1868. He was M.P. for the city of Durham in the Conservative interest from 1853 till 1868, when he was elected M.P. for the University of Oxford. Sir J. Mowbray is a Church Estates Commissioner, and a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant for Durham.

Mr. ALEXANDER CAMPBELL ONSLOW, barrister, has been appointed Attorney-General of the Colony of West Australia, in succession to Mr. Henry Hicks Hocking, who has been appointed Attorney-General of Jamaica. Mr. Onslow is the fourth son of Captain Arthur Alexander Onslow, R.N., and was born in 1842. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge, and he was called to the bar at the bar at the Inner Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1868. He formerly practised on the Home Circuit, and he has been for some time Attorney-General of British Honduras.

Mr. RALPH CHARLTON PALMER, barrister, who has been appointed Principal Secretary to the Lord Chancellor, is the third son of Mr. George Palmer, of Nazing Park, Essex. He was born in 1839, and was educated at Winchester, and at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated third class in classics in 1861. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Trinity Term, 1864, and practises as an equity draughtsman and conveyancer, being also a member of the Home Circuit. Mr. Palmer was for some time secretary to the Public Schools Commissioners.

Mr. ROBERT GEORGE RAPER, solicitor and notary, of Chichester, has been appointed a Magistrate for that city. Mr. Roper was admitted a solicitor in 1850, and is in partnership with Mr. William Bennett Barton Freeland, the clerk to the county magistrates at Chichester. He is an alderman for the city, and was mayor in 1878. He was appointed lecturer in ecclesiastical and parochial law at the Chichester Theological College in 1876, and he is also clerk to the county magistrates, district probate registrar, deputy-registrar of the diocese and archdeaconry of Chichester, secretary to the Bishop of Chichester, and chapter clerk of Chichester Cathedral.

Mr. GEORGE TURNER, solicitor, of Bideford, has been appointed a Magistrate for that borough. Mr. Turner was admitted a solicitor in 1852, and is clerk to the Bideford Highway Board.

Mr. GEORGE WESTHOPE, solicitor, of Ross, Herefordshire, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature, and a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women for the County of Hereford.

#### DISSOLUTION OF PARTNERSHIP.

HARRY REID LEMPRIERE, KENRICK PECK, and GEORGE EDWARD BROWNE, solicitors, 56 and 57, Lincoln's-inn-fields (Lempriere, Peck, & Browne). December 31, 1879. Harry Reid Lempriere and George Edward Browne will carry on business in co-partnership together at 56, Lincoln's-inn-fields, under the style of Lempriere & Browne. Kenrick Peck will carry on business in his own name at 57, Lincoln's-inn-fields. (Gazette, April 30, 1880.)



## Companies.

### WINDING-UP NOTICES.

#### JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

##### LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

**ELGAR SILVER LEAD MINING COMPANY, LIMITED.**—The M.R. has fixed May 10 at 11 at his chambers as the time and place for the appointment of an official liquidator.

**SOUTH KENSINGTON CO-OPERATIVE STORES, LIMITED.**—Petition for winding up presented Apr 27, directed to be heard before the M.R. on May 8. Warry and Co, Lincoln's inn fields, solicitors for the petitioners.

**TRANSVAAL GOLD MINING COMPANY, LIMITED.**—The M.R. has, by an order dated Mar 9, appointed Charles Fitch Kemp, Walbrook, to be official liquidator.

[Gazette, April 30.]

**ALTON COAL, COKE, AND IRON COMPANY, LIMITED.**—Creditors are required, on or before June 1, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Frederic Hogg (at the offices of Mr. W. F. Nokes, 21, Queen Victoria st.). June 15 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims.

**BRIDGEMARSH BRICKFIELDS COMPANY, LIMITED.**—By an order made by V.C. Hall, dated Apr 23, it was ordered that the above company be wound up. Rus sel, Coleman st, solicitor for the petitioner.

**JEFFRESON ANTHRACITE COAL COMPANY, LIMITED.**—The M.R. has, by an order dated Mar 11, appointed Charles Allen, Norton Tenby, Pembroke, to be official liquidator.

[Gazette, May 4.]

#### COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

**LANCASHIRE AND YORKSHIRE FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.**—Creditors are required on or before May 29, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Birtwistle, Spring garden, Manchester. June 10 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims.

[Gazette, Apr. 30.]

#### FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

**INDEPENDENT COMICAL FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Milton-next-Sittingbourne, Kent.** Apr 26.

**LIVERPOOL TIMBERMEN'S SICK AND BURIAL FRIENDLY DIVIDING SOCIETY, Neptune Hotel, Regent rd, Liverpool.** Apr 27.

[Gazette, Apr 30.]

**HARLESTON CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY, LIMITED, Harleston, Northampton.** Apr 27.

[Gazette, May 4.]

## Societies.

### LAW ASSOCIATION.

At the usual monthly meeting of the directors, held at the hall of the Incorporated Law Society, Chancery-lane, on Thursday, the 6th inst., the following being present, viz., Mr. Desborough (chairman), and Messrs. Tylee, Boodle, Burgess, Burt, Desborough, jun., Drew, Hedger, Parkin, Sidney Smith, Steward, and A. B. Carpenter (secretary). a report to be laid before the annual general court on Thursday, the 27th of May, was approved, and the ordinary general business was transacted.

## Obituary.

### MR. BIGGS ANDREWS, Q.C.

Mr. Biggs Andrews, Q.C., formerly a commissioner of bankruptcy, died at his residence, Heavitree House, Exeter, on the 28th ult., at the age of eighty-five. Mr. Andrews was born in 1795, and was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1819. He practised for several years on the Norfolk Circuit and at the Norfolk and Suffolk Sessions, and in 1837 received a silk gown from Lord Cottenham. In 1858 he was appointed by Lord Chelmsford to the office of commissioner of bankruptcy for the Exeter District, and held that office till its abolition by the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, when he retired on a pension. Mr. Andrews was the oldest surviving Queen's Counsel, and the senior bench of the Middle Temple, having been treasurer of that society in 1846. He was a magistrate for Devonshire, and he was for several years one of the chairmen of quarter sessions for that county.

### MR. ROBERT HIRNE HOBBS.

Mr. Robert Hirne Hobbs, solicitor (of the firm of Hobbs, Son, & Pearce), of Stratford-upon-Avon, died at that place on the 30th ult., in his eightieth year. Mr. Hobbs was born in

1800. He was admitted a solicitor in 1828, and had ever since practised at Stratford-upon-Avon, having an extensive and lucrative business. He was for several years associated with Mr. Thomas Slatter, but more recently he had practised in partnership with his son, Mr. William Hobbs, who was admitted a solicitor in 1865, and with Mr. Alexander Pearce. He was a perpetual commissioner for Warwickshire, and he had held several important appointments, having been for many years clerk to the Stratford Board of Guardians, the governors of the Grammar School, and the trustees of the Town Charity Estates, and superintendent registrar. Mr. Hobbs was solicitor to the Stratford Gas Company, and he was registrar of the Stratford County Court (Circuit No. 22) from the passing of the first County Courts Act, until two or three years ago, when he was succeeded by his son.

### MR. WILLIAM CLARKE.

Mr. William Clarke, solicitor (the head of the firm of Clarke, Rawlins, & Clarke), of Gresham-house, Old Broad-street, died at his residence, 18, Kensington-park-gardens, on the 15th ult. Mr. Clarke was admitted a solicitor in 1846, when he went into partnership at 29, Coleman-street with the late Sir Thomas Tilson (afterwards chairman of the Surrey Sessions), and with Messrs. Bryan Parr Squance and David Simpson Morice. He had been for many years the head of the firm, and had more recently removed to Gresham-house, where he was associated with Messrs. Alexander Henry Clarke, Herbert Onslow Clarke, and Cyril Mortimer Murray Rawlins. Mr. Clarke was a perpetual commissioner for the county of Middlesex and the cities of London and Westminster, and he had a very large and lucrative mercantile practice. His firm had been solicitors to the London Joint Stock Bank ever since its foundation, and he also acted for Sion College, the Scottish Provincial Assurance Company, and the Phoenix Gas Company.

### MR. JOHN BARBER.

Mr. John Barber, the oldest solicitor at Derby, died on the 27th ult., in his eighty-fourth year, from the effects of a street accident, having been run over by a wagonette. Mr. Barber was born in 1796, and was admitted a solicitor in 1824, and had practised for over fifty years at Derby. He was first associated in partnership with Mr. Bryan Thomas Balguy, many years town clerk of Derby, and with Mr. Porter, next with Mr. William Eaton Mousley, and afterwards with Mr. Francis Barber and Mr. Benjamin Scott Currey, but for the last few years he had carried on business alone. He was a perpetual commissioner for Derbyshire and had an extensive private practice, and was agent for the Duke of Devonshire's estates in the neighbourhood of Derby. He was clerk of the peace for Derbyshire, and clerk to the General Meetings of Lieutenantancy. Mr. Barber had for many years been connected with the corporation of Derby, and he was the oldest of the borough aldermen.

### MR. JOSEPH SHIPTON.

Mr. Joseph Shipton, solicitor (of the firm of Shipton & Hallowell), died at Thornfield, Chesterfield, on the 26th ult. Mr. Shipton was admitted a solicitor in 1840, and had a very extensive practice at Chesterfield, having been for many years in partnership with Mr. John Hallowell. He was a perpetual commissioner for Derbyshire, and steward of the Manor of Shirland. His firm are joint clerks to the county magistrates at Sheffield, and solicitors to the Chesterfield Market Company, and to the Chesterfield Gas Company and Waterworks Company. He was for several years a member of the Chesterfield Town Council.

The polling at Greenwich for the election of a Coroner for the Western Division of Kent took place this week, the result being as follows:—Mr. E. A. Carttar, 723; Dr. Maxwell, 552; Mr. G. Collier, 123; Mr. H. Pook, 17. Mr. Carttar's father had held the office for forty-nine years, and his grandfather for twenty-two years previously.

### THE APPOINTMENT OF SOLICITOR OF INLAND REVENUE IN IRELAND.

LAST week a general meeting of solicitors was held in the Solicitors'-buildings, Four Courts, Dublin, for the purpose of considering the recent appointment of Solicitor of Inland Revenue, and of deciding on what steps should be taken in reference thereto.

Mr. Henry Augustus Dillon took the chair.

The CHAIRMAN said they were there to take such steps as should be considered advisable in respect of the appointment which had recently been made to one of the many situations of which from time to time their profession had been unjustly despoiled. This was no new matter. Previous meetings of the profession and previous councils had found it necessary to take steps with reference to it. In June, 1859, a meeting of the profession was held on a similar subject, and again in 1866 the council which then represented the society had to convene a meeting to protest against an appointment which they considered improper and unfair to the profession. With the gentleman who had been recently appointed to the situation now in question they had no cause of quarrel at all. It was not with him they quarrelled, but with the system under which such a job could be perpetrated.

Mr. WEST moved the following resolution:—"That we hereby express our extreme dissatisfaction at the appointment of a barrister to the office of Solicitor of the Inland Revenue Department, and protest against the appointment of any person other than a solicitor to perform duties for the efficient discharge of which the professional education of a solicitor is essential." He did not mean to make any observations as to the merits of the gentleman who had been appointed, but would confine himself strictly to the resolution. The posts for which solicitors were eligible, even in common with members of the bar, were so few that they should resist, as far as they could, any of those appointments being taken from them. They were not there to complain of another appointment of a member of the bar to a position which had been previously filled by a solicitor, that appointment having been strictly within the legitimate patronage of the head of the court. But that gave them an additional right to complain of the appointment of a barrister to a post which, as its very name indicated, was peculiarly one to have been filled by a solicitor. They knew that the duties of that office were those of a solicitor. When had the authorities gone out of their way to select from the solicitors' profession a man to fill a post of any importance for which others were eligible? Another cause of dissatisfaction was that the offices of Solicitor of the Treasury, of the Customs, of the Post Office, of the Admiralty, and of the War Department might every one of them be filled by gentlemen who were not solicitors. That was a most unworthy and unjust stigma upon their profession. The educational status of the profession was greatly different now from what it was half a century ago. He would read for them the following question on the subject, put to Sir Thomas Freemantle when he was president of the Board of Customs, and his answer to it:—"Is it an advantage to the public service to have a solicitor in preference to a barrister? Yes; my mind has undergone a great change upon that subject. I had formerly a prejudice in favour of the bar, but from becoming more cognizant of the working of the department, I think that a solicitor is much the most useful man; for in fact the business of prosecution occupies a very great part of his time, which a solicitor is better able to conduct. There is no doubt that there is a great deal of disagreeable business in getting up a case for presentation in the court, and your barrister-solicitor left all that to subordinates and merely took his brief when he went into court. No doubt, on the other hand, you often require the legal opinion of our solicitor, and in that case it must be supposed that the opinion of a man bred to the bar would be a better one than the opinion of a mere solicitor; but I doubt, even in that case, whether we do not get as good, or even a better opinion, as these questions turn so much upon the interpretation of the Customs Revenue law rather than of the general law. I am quite satisfied with the opinion of the solicitor in most cases." Some persons considered that that meeting had been held rather late. They had not, however, been idle in the matter. More than a year ago, when it was thought that a change in respect of the

office in question was impending, a strong but respectful memorial was addressed to the Treasury, the Chancellor, the Lord Lieutenant, and others who were thought to have influence in the matter, and when they heard that the appointment was nearly made they sent another communication calling attention to that memorial.

Mr. J. H. NUNN, in seconding the resolution, said he had no personal object to serve, for he was happy to state that he had never yet asked any Government for an appointment, and he had never been offered one. No doubt the answer that would be given to the present protest, on behalf of the gentleman who had been appointed, whose acquaintance he (Mr. Nunn) had enjoyed for a long time, and for whom he had the highest regard, and whom he was sure they would all find a most pleasant and agreeable man of business—was that a great deal of the business of his office would consist in giving counsel's opinions. But was not that true of almost every solicitor? The business of their Sessional Crown Solicitors was to a great extent that of a barrister; they conducted many prosecutions quite as ably as counsel did.

Mr. W. MILWARD JONES said he had had a conversation with a high official, who told him most distinctly that the appointment in question was made in England, and without the sanction, and almost without the knowledge, of the Lord Chancellor in Ireland, who would have been in favour of giving the office to a solicitor.

The resolution having been put and carried,

Mr. E. R. DIX moved:—"That inasmuch as the Act 9 Geo. 4, c. 25, by which alone the recent appointment of a barrister to the office of Solicitor of Inland Revenue is authorized, operates unjustly towards our profession, petitions be presented to Parliament praying for the repeal of the said statute, and that it be referred to the council of the Incorporated Law Society of Ireland to take the necessary steps for that purpose." The plea that this office required any special knowledge or judgment was a hollow sham. There was nothing whatever in its duties different from those that every other solicitor in large practice performed every day of his life. On the contrary, the duties were a great deal less difficult and responsible than those of ordinary professional life, because the Solicitor of Inland Revenue had only to make himself up upon the law of one subject instead of that of every subject. They had heard, when a discussion took place about the chief clerks in Chancery, that solicitors were not competent to perform judicial functions; and if they were to be excluded from those functions, surely they might be let to do business for which they had been specially educated. The Act of Parliament on the question was in truth a job, and a series of jobs had since been perpetrated under it.

Mr. WM. ROCHE, in seconding the motion, said this was not a party question. It had nothing to do with party or persons. If any question arose in the carrying out of the business of the office in question, the opinion of the Attorney-General would be taken, and not that of the gentleman who by the wave of a magic wand had been converted into a solicitor without having undergone the usual training peculiar to the profession.

Mr. R. K. CLAY was happy to find the council had funds at their disposal to carry out this matter, but in any case he was sure the profession would not have hesitated to provide the necessary sinews of war.

The motion was adopted, and after some formal votes the proceedings terminated.

### Creditors' Claims.

#### CREDITORS UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY. LAST DAY OF PROOF.

DILLON-WEBB, GEORGE, Chancery lane. June 1. Bridger v Dillon-Webb, V.C. Hall. Boxall, Chancery lane  
EVANS, WILLIAM. Doolley, Merioneth, Currier. May 31. Evans v Jones, V.C. Hall. Ingle and Co. Threadneedle st  
LEOY, EDWARD, Harpenden, Hert's, Corn Factor. May 12. Ilott v Ilott, M.R. Phillips, Hertford  
MINIFIE, WILLIAM ALLEN, Kidderminster, Miller. May 15. Isaacs v Minifie, V.C. Mallins. Stokes, Dudley  
O'CONNOR, WILLIAM, Clifden rd, Hackney, Gent. May 12. O'Connor v O'Connor, V.C. Bacon. Heritage, Furnival's inn, Holborn  
PRICE, THOMAS, Fishguard, Pembroke. May 15. Williams v Jenkins, V.C. Mallins. Davies, Haverfordwest  
WINGOTE, WILLIAM, Alveston, Warwick, Baker. May 19. Canning v Lane, V.C. Bacon. Lane, Stratford-upon-Avon  
[Gazette, Apr. 16.]

AMSENCK, WILLIAM, Richmond, Major 27th Foot. May 24. Heath-  
field v Amstiek, V.O. Hall. Finch, Grav's inn sq  
BURNFORD, ANNE, Brighton. May 18. Medhurst v Crowhurst, M.R.  
Mant, Storrington  
CROSS, ERNEST, Pickering, York, Wine Merchant. June 1. Murch v  
Cross, V.O. Hall. Smith, Staple inn  
FOX, CHARLES BERTON, Newport, Mon, Solicitor. May 18. Hunt v  
Fox, M.R. Hors. Lincoln's inn fields  
GARDNER, WILLIAM DUNN, Fordham Abbey, Cambridge. May 25.  
Gardner v Gardner, V.O. Hall. Francis, Cambridge  
METCALFE, JAMES SIMM, Richmond, York, Gent. May 20. Roper v  
Metcalfe, M.R. Tomlin, Richmond  
OKE, ROY, WILLIAM SANWAYS, Wolverton, Somerset. May 14.  
Hetherington v Oke, M.R. Keary, Chippenhams  
TURNER, FREDERIC, Aldermanbury, Gent. May 20. Turner v Turner,  
M.R. Rawle, Bedford row  
WILLIAMS, EVAN, Cwm, Denbigh. May 19. Morris v Williams, V.C.  
Bacon. Gold and Co, Denbigh

[Gazette, Apr. 20.]

BENNETT, GEORGE, Caythorpe, Lincoln, Baker. May 21. Bennett v  
Bennett, M.R. Thompson, Granham  
BIDDLE, JOHN, Glascoate, Warwick, Yeoman. June 1. Biddle v Biddle,  
V.C. Hall. Braikenridge, Bartlett's bldgs  
BLANDY, CHARLES RIDFATH, Great Western Hotel, Paddington, Gent.  
July 1. Sendall v Blandy, V.C. Hall. Rawle, Bedford row  
ELLIS, THOMAS, Sheffield. June 1. Ellis v Ellis, V.C. Hall. Binney  
and Co, Sheffield  
GUEST, JAMES, Upper Gornal, Stafford, Brewer. May 14. Stafford-  
shire Joint Stock Bank, Limited v Guest, V.C. Bacon. Wilcock,  
Wolverhampton  
HAYWOOD, JOHN, Litchurch, Derby, Merchant. May 22. V.C. Bacon.  
Sale, Corn Market, Derby  
KISSICK, ALICE BENTON, Kissick villas, Finchley. May 20. Brice v  
Lettice, Malsch v Lettice, M.R. Scadding, Gordon st  
WELSPRING, JOHN, Chandos st, West Strand, Chemist. May 20.  
Sadler v Moseley, M.R. Bartlett, Bedford st, Covent Garden  
WOOD, JOHN, Beeston Road, York, Innkeeper. May 21. Lister v  
Wood, M.R. Malcolm, Leeds

[Gazette, Apr. 23.]

### CREDITORS UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 25. LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

ALCOCK, THOMAS, Leek, Stafford, Silk Manufacturer. June 22. Chal-  
linor and Co, Leek  
ASBURY, EDWARD JACOMB, East Retford, Nottingham, M.D. May 31.  
Braikenridge, Bartlett's bldgs, Holborn  
AYERS, WINCKLES, Westcombe, Northampton, Farmer. May 24.  
Whitton, Twickenham  
BRANWHITE, CHARLES, Redland, Bristol, Gent. April 29. Salmon,  
Bristol  
CADBURY, BENJAMIN HEAD, Edgbaston, Warwick. May 31. Gaisyer,  
Birmingham  
CALVERT, REV. WILLIAM, Burghley rd, Highgate rd, Clerk. June 7.  
Calvert, Crown Office town, Temple  
CARTLEY, PETER, St. Neot's, Huntingdon, Millwright. May 24.  
Wilkinson and Co, St. Neot's  
EDE, CATHERINE, Bitterne, Southampton. June 5. Farrer and Co,  
Lincoln's-inn-fields  
EDWARDS, SARINA, Wells. May 31. Batten, Yeovil  
HARPER, GEORGE, Melbourne, Derby, Mill Manager. June 22. Simp-  
son, Manchester  
HOSBAND, GEORGE, Gloucester-terrace, South Norwood. June 30.  
Cannon, Sardinia st  
KILNER, EDWARD, Flockton, York, Butcher. May 24. Ainley and  
Hall, Huddersfield  
MARRIOTT, JANE, Manchester. June 7. Whitworth, Manchester  
MINTON, RICHARD ROWLANDS, Hebbington, Chester, Painter and  
Oil Manufacturer. May 28. Harris and Gorst, Liverpool  
MORT, ELIZABETH, Lawton, Lancaster. May 31. Ashcroft, Preston  
NEEDHAM, JEREMIAH, Manchester, butcher. June 22. Simpson,  
Manchester  
NORMAN, JOHN, Colchester, Essex, Surgeon. May 31. Salmon, Dias  
PRINGLE, ROBERT, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Tailor. June 30. Legge and  
Denison, Newcastle-upon-Tyne  
SMITH, WILLIAM, Atherstone, Warwick, Gardener. May 10. Sale,  
Atherstone  
STEPHENS, SARAH JAMES, Redland, Bristol. June 30. Cooke and Sons  
Bristol  
TRENMAN, FRANCES MELLOR, Ashton-under-Lyne. June 22. Brooks  
and Co, Ashton-under-Lyne  
TURNER, FREDERICK EVANS, Holywell, Flint, Chemist. May 30.  
Cope, Holywell  
TISON, ANNA, Beulah Hills, Upper Norwood. May 31. Tucker,  
Chancery lane  
WARD, ABRAHAM, Dewsbury, York, Woolstapler. July 1. Scholefield  
and Sons, Dewsbury  
WELLINGTON, THOMAS, Adelaide rd, South Hampstead, Wine and  
Spirit Merchant. May 31. Clarke and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields

[Gazette, April 20.]

AMER, WILLIAM, Lincoln's-inn, Law Bookseller. June 24. Purkis  
and Perry, Lincoln's-inn-fields  
AUBRECHT, ALBERT, Basinghall st, Merchant. June 7. Harris, Moor-  
gate st  
BARRICK, SARAH, Eynesbury, Huntingdon. May 20. Wilkinson and  
Co, St Neots  
BROWN, EDWARD, Coombs Warren, Kingston-upon-Thames, Esq.  
June 1. Tyerman, Fenchurch st  
BURNARD, GEORGE, Hoxton st, Shoreditch, Churn Maker. June 2.  
Singleton and Tattershall, Gt James st, Bedford row  
CANNING, THOMAS, Penryn, Cornwall, Army Pensioner. July 20.  
Hosbottle, Duchy of Cornwall Office, Buckingham gate  
COLEMAN, SOPHIA, Ditchling, Sussex. June 24. Freeman and Freeman,  
Gell, Brighton

COOPER, LADY ELIZABETH, Lowndes sq. May 31. Soames, Lincoln's-  
inn-fields  
COUZENS, THOMAS, High st, Poplar, Licensed Victualler. June 1.  
Child, William st, Albert gate  
CRITCHER, SARAH, New Windsor, Berks. June 1. Darvill and Co,  
New Windsor  
DAVIS, SAMUEL, Yardley, Worcester, Farmer. May 5. Simcox,  
Birmingham  
GOTT, WILLIAM EWART, Armley, Leeds, Esq. June 30. North and  
Sons, Leeds  
GEEVES, MARY LOUISA, Birmingham. May 31. Canning and Canning,  
Birmingham  
GREEN, JOHN, Edgbaston, nr Birmingham, Coal Merchant. June 1.  
Ryland and Co, Birmingham  
GREGSON, AMOS, Southport, Draper. May 31. Welsby and Co, South-  
port  
HOWARD, HENRY, Huntingdon st, Kingsland rd, Gent. June 4.  
Cronin and Rivolta, Southampton st, Bloomsbury  
ILLINGWORTH, ISAAC, Throston, nr Hartlepool, Durham, Balider.  
July 1. Young, West Hartlepool  
KEATES, JOHN DUCKER, Burton-on-Trent. May 29. Taylor, Burton-  
on-Trent  
KITCHING, MARY ANN, Abbey-gardens, St John's Wood, June 2.  
Jackson, Lincoln's-inn-fields  
LECIEUX, MARY ELIZABETH, Hastings. June 7. Mann, Hastings  
MACKRELL, WILLIAM, Spetsbury, Dorset, Farmer. June 9. Marshfield  
and Hutchings, Wareham  
MILES, ELIZABETH, Dawlish, Devon. June 1. Halse and Co, Old Bur-  
lington st, Bond st  
MILLER, JANET ELIZABETH, Greenwich, Kent. June 10. Padley and  
Bartlett, Bush lane  
PENNY, THOMAS, Gilfachywnn, Carmarthen, Farmer. May 5. Bishop,  
Llandilo  
RICH, RICHARD PINNIGER, Chippenhams, Wilts, Farmer. May 15.  
Awdry and Clarke, Chippenhams  
ROE, PETER MITCHELL, Malta, Fleet Surgeon, R.N. May 21. Hallett  
and Co, St Martin's pl  
SCUDAMORE, CAPEL, Bull and Mouth st, Undertaker. May 19. Tatham  
and Co, Queen Victoria st  
SMITH, MAGNUS, Treowen, in the colony of Sierra Leone, solicitor.  
June 1. Beck, East India Avenue  
STEINWEHR, SIGMUND AARON, Lagos, West Coast of Africa, Merchant.  
June 30. Hilbery, Billiter st  
WATTS, WILLIAM, Kensington Park rd, Gent. May 31. Chamberlain,  
Finsbury sq  
WILLIAMSON, JOHN, Manchester, Gent. May 28. Makinson and Fuller,  
Manchester  
WOODWARD, MARY, Sproughton, Suffolk. May 24. Josselyn and Sons,  
Ipswich  
WORRELL, CHARLES, Beeley, Derby, Yeoman. July 1. Taylor, Bake-  
well  
WARRALL, GEORGINA, Knotty Ash, nr Liverpool. May 21. Bateson  
and Co, Liverpool

[Gazette, April 23.]

## Solicitors' Cases.

### COMMON PLEAS DIVISION.

(Before DENMAN and LOPES, JJ.)

April 4.—*Re William Day, a Solicitor.*

In this case a rule was obtained, as long ago as July, 1879, calling upon Mr. Day to answer a certain affidavit, or, in the alternative, to show cause why he should not be struck off the rolls.

It appeared that in June, 1870, a Miss Lock had given Mr. Day £400 to invest for her; that he had paid the interest on this sum up to December, 1878, when the payments ceased; but that he had several times told Miss Lock that he was unable to meet with a suitable investment for so small a sum as £400.

The matter has been before the court on several previous occasions, the last one being the 23rd of March last (reported *ante*, p. 408), when the case was adjourned for one month, on the understanding that Mr. Day would pay £100 to Miss Lock's solicitor within that time.

*Boddam* showed cause.—The defendant paid the £100 he undertook to pay on the last occasion, not indeed within one month, but during the week in which the month expired. Mr. Day is now seventy-five years old, he has practised for forty-seven years, and his default arose solely from his being struck down with paralysis in 1872, since which date he was compelled to leave his business to other people; he has done his best and throws himself on the mercy of the court.

*Pitt-Lewis*, for Miss Lock.—I do not press for any severe punishment, but I must remind the court that this £400 is all Miss Lock has, and also that the defendant has not taxed the bill of the solicitor who has lately acted for him.

*Boddam*.—It has not been rendered, and we have not been able to get it.

DENMAN, J.—The matter stands thus: in 1870 Miss Lock gave Day £400 to invest, he was seized with paralysis in



1872, and it is put forward in his behalf that he never pretended that he had found an investment for the money, but that the money was left in his hands, and that he paid interest upon it up to 1878. When the matter was before Mr. Baron Pollock and myself, we did not assume that the defendant had been guilty of any misconduct. A voluntary offer was then made, and we allowed the case to stand over, in order that the offer might be carried out.

LOPES, J.—The facts being as stated by Mr. Justice Denman, where is the misconduct? Where wrong doing is proved, I have over and over again expressed my dissent from making these applications the medium of compelling the repayment of money, and then when that has been accomplished, of allowing the matter to drop. That, however, is not quite this case.

DENMAN, J.—I think that the best course is to discharge this rule without costs.

LOPES, J., concurred.

## Court Papers.

### SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

#### ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V. C. MALINS.
Monday, May 10 Mr. Merivale	Mr. Farrer	Mr. Cobby	
Tuesday ..... 11	King	Teesdale	Jackson
Wednesday ..... 12	Merivale	Farrer	Cobby
Thursday ..... 13	King	Teesdale	Jackson
Friday ..... 14	Merivale	Farrer	Cobby

	V. C. BACOK.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice FRY.
Monday, May 10 Mr. Pemberton	Mr. Leach	Mr. Clowes	
Tuesday ..... 11	Ward	Latham	Kee
Wednesday ..... 12	Pemberton	Leach	Clowes
Thursday ..... 13	Ward	Latham	Kee
Friday ..... 14	Pemberton	Leach	Clowes

The Whitsun Vacation will commence on Saturday, May 15, and terminate on Tuesday, May 18, both days inclusive.

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

#### MIDDLESEX.—EASTER SITTINGS, 1880.

##### LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

(Continued from p. 513.)

CP 526 Walker (Field, R and Co) v Palmer (H B Dunn)	Ex 533 Seal (Blake and Snow) v Wenham (H W Christmas)
CP 527 Kew (Lovett and Co) v Barrow (Lumley and L)	Ex 534 Webb (J H Lee) v Kittell (Hollingsworth, T and A)
Q B 528 Cheffierel and anr (C Foster) v Oliver and ors (Wright and P)	CP 535 Wiffen (H A Lovett and Co) v Harris (W Milner)
CP 529 Davis and Co (R Davies) v Blackburn (T W Baldwin)	Ex 536 Dillon (T E Watkin) v Plues (Peacock and G)
CP 530 Ives (Elborough and D) v Arns and anr (W Batham)	Ex 537 Lange and Son (E H Biggen) v Luscher (S Roberts)
Q B 531 The City of London Discount Corporation, lmd (W P Slater) v Lacey (J Evans), SJ	Q B 538 Ward (Bonal and B) v Filley (H Morris)
Ex 532 Rice (W Brewer) v Calver and anr (Stones and Co; Robinson and Co)	CP 539 Flower and ors (Flower and N) v Palmer and anr (Hubbard, Son and B)
Ex 533 Seal (Blake and Snow) v Wenham (H W Christmas)	Q B 540 Thomson (Brighten, P and N) v Heathcote (Talbot and T)
Ex 534 Webb (J H Lee) v Kittell (Hollingsworth, T and A)	Q B 541 Metropolitan Ry Co (Burchells) v Coulson (G H Hall) without jury
CP 535 Wiffen (H A Lovett and Co) v Harris (W Milner)	Q B 542 Hill (J M Weightman) v Bayfus and ors (Beyfus and B)
Ex 536 Dillon (T E Watkin) v Plues (Peacock and G)	Q B 543 Corcoran, Witt and Co (Cattars, J and H) v Phippen and anr (Simpson and C), by Judge and Assessor
Ex 537 Lange and Son (E H Biggen) v Luscher (S Roberts)	Q B 544 Great Britain Mutual Life Assurance Society (Prideaux and Son) v Lamb (Peacock and G)
Q B 538 Ward (Bonal and B) v Filley (H Morris)	Ex 545 Bird and anr (Hine-Haycock and B) v Hemery (W F Stokes)
CP 539 Flower and ors (Flower and N) v Palmer and anr (Hubbard, Son and B)	Q B 546 Catford (Carr, B D and M) v The London Street Tramways Co (Ashurst, M and Co), SJ
Q B 540 Thomson (Brighten, P and N) v Heathcote (Talbot and T)	CP 547 The London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) v Watts (J Emanuel and Co)
Q B 541 Metropolitan Ry Co (Burchells) v Coulson (G H Hall) without jury	Ex 548 Allen (G Reader) v Sheather (Dod and L)
Q B 542 Hill (J M Weightman) v Bayfus and ors (Beyfus and B)	Q B 549 Stevens (B H Van Tromp) v Lord Bateman (Norton and Co), SJ
Q B 543 Corcoran, Witt and Co (Cattars, J and H) v Phippen and anr (Simpson and C), by Judge and Assessor	Ex 550 Brook (Berry and B) v London Tramway Co (H C Godfray), SJ
Q B 544 Great Britain Mutual Life Assurance Society (Prideaux and Son) v Lamb (Peacock and G)	Chy 551 Ormond (R Wood) v Tuckey (J Terry), SJ
Ex 545 Bird and anr (Hine-Haycock and B) v Hemery (W F Stokes)	Ex 552 Webb (Miller and W) v Salisbury (C W Taylor)
Q B 546 Catford (Carr, B D and M) v The London Street Tramways Co (Ashurst, M and Co), SJ	Q B 553 Turquand and ors (H Kimber and Co) v Fearon (Herbert and K)
CP 547 The London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) v Watts (J Emanuel and Co)	Ex 554 White (G Reader) v Larkin (Cattars, J and H)
Ex 548 Allen (G Reader) v Sheather (Dod and L)	Q B 555 Kempton Park Race Course Co (B H Van Tromp) v Brown-ing and anr (S Price)
Q B 549 Stevens (B H Van Tromp) v Lord Bateman (Norton and Co), SJ	Q B 556 Goldschmidt and anr (J R Macarthur) v Scheurer (B J Abbott)
Ex 550 Brook (Berry and B) v London Tramway Co (H C Godfray), SJ	Q B 557 Llewellyn (Nash and F) v Lewis (Micklethwait and Co)
Chy 551 Ormond (R Wood) v Tuckey (J Terry), SJ	Q B 558 Bellingham (T H Mortimer) v Warwick (Miller, S and B)
Ex 552 Webb (Miller and W) v Salisbury (C W Taylor)	Q B 559 Jones (S Chapman) v Meyer (Nash and F), SJ
Q B 553 Turquand and ors (H Kimber and Co) v Fearon (Herbert and K)	Q B 560 Mavis (Keane and S) v Thornley (J T Hazeldine)
Ex 554 White (G Reader) v Larkin (Cattars, J and H)	Q B 561 Blackman (Norris and N) v Trimmer (Johnson and W)
Q B 555 Kempton Park Race Course Co (B H Van Tromp) v Brown-ing and anr (S Price)	
Q B 556 Goldschmidt and anr (J R Macarthur) v Scheurer (B J Abbott)	
Q B 557 Llewellyn (Nash and F) v Lewis (Micklethwait and Co)	
Q B 558 Bellingham (T H Mortimer) v Warwick (Miller, S and B)	
Q B 559 Jones (S Chapman) v Meyer (Nash and F), SJ	
Q B 560 Mavis (Keane and S) v Thornley (J T Hazeldine)	
Q B 561 Blackman (Norris and N) v Trimmer (Johnson and W)	

CP 562 Phillips (Moresby, White and J) v Clarke (Field, R and Co), without jury	Ex 581 Bidder (Wyatt and B) v Merritt and anr (Munns and L)
CP 563 Taylor (Patey and W) v Cookson and anr (Allen and G)	Ex 582 Winstanley (Plunket and L) v Pollen (Peacock and G)
Q B 564 Brett, trustee, &c (M Abrahams and Co) v Adams and Son (Milnes and Co)	Q B 583 Maers (G T Robinson) v Davis and Son (Ovans, B and A)
CP 565 Labenburg (F A Brabant) v Pennefather (W H Roberts)	Q B 584 Esson (Nash and F) v Gooch (Nye and G)
CP 566 Acres and Co (W Crook) v Davison (Hollams, Son and C)	CP 585 Rogers (J Rae) v Brown (J T Davis), SJ
CP 567 Vestry of St Luke, Middlesex (W W Hayne) v Simmons (L Barnett)	Q B 586 Courage and anr (Ovans B and Co) v Hose (H M Ody)
CP 568 Lacey and anr (Todd and D) v Marsden (Flux and Co), SJ	CP 587 Caslake (A T Hewitt) v Bywaters and anr (Campbell R and H)
CP 569 Digby and anr (Digby and J) v Welby (J E S King)	CP 588 Beere and Wife (Pawle, F and C) v Neville (Horn and M)
Ex 570 Brass (Duignan and S) v Kinnear (J Hill)	CP 589 Brooks (W A Downing) v Williams (H Tyrrell)
Ex 571 Brinjes and anr (E B Tattershall) v Sholl (J Murray)	Q B 590 Ross (H Levy) v Seaward and anr (Lowless and Co)
CP 572 Pfeiffer (B Davis) v Lefevre (W and A R Ford), SJ	Q B 591 Salisbury and anr (Same) v Squire and anr (J Shiers)
Q B 573 Letts (J P Bigginden) v Union and anr (Angell and Co)	CP 592 Ford, trustee, &c (G L Eyre and Co) v Hastings (S S Seal)
Ex 574 Revell (J Goren) v Cowell and anr (C Mossop)	CP 593 Windover (J N Fulton) v Jones (W Haggerty)
CP 575 Fox and anr (J Rae) v Kipling (W A Downing)	Ex 594 Fox and anr (Lewin and Co) v Morris (E G Lawrence)
CP 576 Cole (J J Watts) v Burns (Nash and F)	CP 595 Reynolds (J T Davis) v Green and anr (Bartley and J)
CP 577 Barker and Co (Roberts and B) v Ferguson (T H Horwood)	CP 596 Dingley (W Maynard) v Wilson (Tucker and Co)
CP 578 Gillingham (Parkis and P) v Nunn (J L Morris)	CP 597 Pike (M S Rubenstein) v Taylor (J Burn)
CP 579 Riebold (J J Watts) v Gurrin (W A Downing)	Ex 598 Crouys (G B Howard) v Gadsby (Lewis and Lewis)
Q B 580 The Queen on the prosecution of Lambri (Lewis and Lewis) v Labouchere (Batten and Co) SJ	Q B 599 Bennett (W B Palmer) v Large (H W Maccreth)
Ex 581 Bidder (Wyatt and B) v Merritt and anr (Munns and L)	Ex 600 Lofthouse and anr (Collyer-Bristow, W and R) v Barnard (Boulton, S and C)
Ex 582 Winstanley (Plunket and L) v Pollen (Peacock and G)	Q B 601 The Ilkeston Colliery Co, lmd (F Needham) v Harrison (Cunliffe, B and D)
Q B 583 Maers (G T Robinson) v Davis and Son (Ovans, B and A)	Ex 602 Hope (R Furber) v Hawes and Son (C Thorp) SJ
Q B 584 Esson (Nash and F) v Gooch (Nye and G)	CP 603 Kirkpatrick (J Curtis) v Pallister (Clarke, R and Co)
CP 585 Rogers (J Rae) v Brown (J T Davis), SJ	Q B 604 Kile and Co (Williamson, H and Co) v Muller (Van Sandau and Co)
Q B 586 Courage and anr (Ovans B and Co) v Hose (H M Ody)	CP 605 Bevan (Nelson, Son and H) v Smith and ors (Bell, B and G) without jury
CP 587 Caslake (A T Hewitt) v Bywaters and anr (Campbell R and H)	Chy 606 Stenning (Smith, S and C) v Crowhurst (Monckton, Long and Co)
CP 588 Beere and Wife (Pawle, F and C) v Neville (Horn and M)	Ex 607 Brewett, by next friend (Robinson, P and S) v General Steam Navigation Co (W Batham)
CP 589 Brooks (W A Downing) v Williams (H Tyrrell)	Ex 608 Grant (E B Tattershall) v Thompson (A M Bradley)
Q B 590 Ross (H Levy) v Seaward and anr (Lowless and Co)	Q B 609 Mill (F Heritage and Co) v Whitlock (Foss and L)
Q B 591 Salisbury and anr (Same) v Squire and anr (J Shiers)	Q B 610 Fox (Same) v Same (Same)
CP 592 Ford, trustee, &c (G L Eyre and Co) v Hastings (S S Seal)	Q B 611 Helmore and Wife (R C and A Derry) v Jones (R B Jones)
CP 593 Windover (J N Fulton) v Jones (W Haggerty)	Ex 612 Stanger (C A Swaine) v Lee (G Cordwell)
Ex 594 Fox and anr (Lewin and Co) v Morris (E G Lawrence)	Q B 613 Marsden (G Badham) Tennant (Barley and C)
CP 595 Reynolds (J T Davis) v Green and anr (Bartley and J)	CP 614 Gurrin (W A Downing) v Boardman (Field, R and Co)
CP 596 Dingley (W Maynard) v Wilson (Tucker and Co)	Q B 615 Smyth (J D H Smyth) v Bell (G H Hall)
CP 597 Pike (M S Rubenstein) v Taylor (J Burn)	CP 616 Barnum (J P Bigginden) v Williams (Taylor, H and T)
Ex 598 Crouys (G B Howard) v Gadsby (Lewis and Lewis)	Ex 617 Mably (Coode, K and C) v The Archangel Marine Insurance Co lmd (Freshfields and W) SJ
Q B 599 Bennett (W B Palmer) v Large (H W Maccreth)	Ex 618 Brace (H Tyrrell) v Chisholm (Anderson and Sons)
Ex 600 Lofthouse and anr (Collyer-Bristow, W and R) v Barnard (Boulton, S and C)	Ex 619 Davis and anr (Miller and M) v Fieldus (Tilledge and Co) SJ
Q B 601 The Ilkeston Colliery Co, lmd (F Needham) v Harrison (Cunliffe, B and D)	CP 620 Simmonds and anr (Stooken and Jupp) v Clark (Hill and Son)
Ex 602 Hope (R Furber) v Hawes and Son (C Thorp) SJ	CP 621 Gibson (Surr, Gribble and B) v Best, Marshall and Co (F Bradley)
CP 603 Kirkpatrick (J Curtis) v Pallister (Clarke, R and Co)	CP 622 Rutherford (H S Hubbard) v Myer (W S Webster)
Q B 604 Kile and Co (Williamson, H and Co) v Muller (Van Sandau and Co)	CP 623 Kaleski (Lewis and Son) v Gun and Shot, &c, Wharves Co, lmd (Janson, C and P)
CP 605 Bevan (Nelson, Son and H) v Smith and ors (Bell, B and G) without jury	Q B 624 Boot (S Chapman) v The City and Home Counties Permanent Building Society (Boxall and B)
Chy 606 Stenning (Smith, S and C) v Crowhurst (Monckton, Long and Co)	Q B 625 Nutford (Nash and F) v Dimmock (Torr and Co)
Ex 607 Brewett, by next friend (Robinson, P and S) v General Steam Navigation Co (W Batham)	Q B 626 Pennell, Smith and Co (M Abrahams and Co) v Day (R H Ward)
Ex 608 Grant (E B Tattershall) v Thompson (A M Bradley)	Q B 627 Baster and anr (F F Bonney) v Wright and Co (W J Fraser)
Q B 609 Mill (F Heritage and Co) v Whitlock (Foss and L)	Ex 628 Rawlings (Parker, L and Co) v Muggeridge Clowes, H and Co SJ
Q B 610 Fox (Same) v Same (Same)	Ex 629 Edmondson (Putvoys, F and B) v Aldous (W T Foster) Same (Same) v Same (Same) Consolidated SJ
Q B 611 Helmore and Wife (R C and A Derry) v Jones (R B Jones)	Ex 630 Dawson (G C Scott v Shepherd (Bowers and C)
Ex 612 Stanger (C A Swaine) v Lee (G Cordwell)	Q B 631 Mayhew and ors (F Broome) v Hazeldine (Hazeldine and Co)
Q B 613 Marsden (G Badham) Tennant (Barley and C)	Q B 632 Mathews (F W Snell and G) v Ridgway and anr (Layton Son and L)
CP 614 Gurrin (W A Downing) v Boardman (Field, R and Co)	Ex 633 Lacey (M Hawkins) v Knox (T W Goldring)
Q B 615 Smyth (J D H Smyth) v Bell (G H Hall)	CP 634 Robshaw and anr (W A Downing) v Hudson (Renshaw, and R)
CP 616 Barnum (J P Bigginden) v Williams (Taylor, H and T)	CP 635 Mead (T C Willis) v Kemp (H Elderton)
Ex 617 Mably (Coode, K and C) v The Archangel Marine Insurance Co lmd (Freshfields and W) SJ	CP 636 Brett (Ashley, Tee and Son) v Curfioe and Co (Hensman and N)
Ex 618 Brace (H Tyrrell) v Chisholm (Anderson and Sons)	Ex 637 Reiners (C A Swaine) v Cowan and anr (W W Wynne)
Ex 619 Davis and anr (Miller and M) v Fieldus (Tilledge and Co) SJ	CP 638 Geoghegan (M J Geoghegan) v Bosco (Stapcooke and Son)
CP 620 Simmonds and anr (Stooken and Jupp) v Clark (Hill and Son)	CP 639 Phillips (Wood, L and B) v Lane (Keene, M and B)
CP 621 Gibson (Surr, Gribble and B) v Best, Marshall and Co (F Bradley)	Q B 640 Angel (G L Norman) v Glover (A Crossfield)
CP 622 Rutherford (H S Hubbard) v Myer (W S Webster)	CP 641 Woodbridge (Barton and Co) v Smith and anr (Wyatt and B)
CP 623 Kaleski (Lewis and Son) v Gun and Shot, &c, Wharves Co, lmd (Janson, C and P)	Q B 642 Jones (R B Jones) v Hope and ors (Miller and Miller; J W Sykes)
Q B 624 Boot (S Chapman) v The City and Home Counties Permanent Building Society (Boxall and B)	Q B 643 Clayton (Wolferstan, A and J) v Henderson (W S Gardner)
Q B 625 Nutford (Nash and F) v Dimmock (Torr and Co)	CP 644 Hazell (Woodbridge and Sons) v Allen (Talbot and T)
Q B 626 Pennell, Smith and Co (M Abrahams and Co) v Day (R H Ward)	
Q B 627 Baster and anr (F F Bonney) v Wright and Co (W J Fraser)	
Ex 628 Rawlings (Parker, L and Co) v Muggeridge Clowes, H and Co SJ	
Ex 629 Edmondson (Putvoys, F and B) v Aldous (W T Foster) Same (Same) v Same (Same) Consolidated SJ	
Ex 630 Dawson (G C Scott v Shepherd (Bowers and C)	
Q B 631 Mayhew and ors (F Broome) v Hazeldine (Hazeldine and Co)	
Q B 632 Mathews (F W Snell and G) v Ridgway and anr (Layton Son and L)	
Ex 633 Lacey (M Hawkins) v Knox (T W Goldring)	
CP 634 Robshaw and anr (W A Downing) v Hudson (Renshaw, and R)	
CP 635 Mead (T C Willis) v Kemp (H Elderton)	
CP 636 Brett (Ashley, Tee and Son) v Curfioe and Co (Hensman and N)	
Ex 637 Reiners (C A Swaine) v Cowan and anr (W W Wynne)	
CP 638 Geoghegan (M J Geoghegan) v Bosco (Stapcooke and Son)	
CP 639 Phillips (Wood, L and B) v Lane (Keene, M and B)	
Q B 640 Angel (G L Norman) v Glover (A Crossfield)	
CP 641 Woodbridge (Barton and Co) v Smith and anr (Wyatt and B)	
Q B 642 Jones (R B Jones) v Hope and ors (Miller and Miller; J W Sykes)	
Q B 643 Clayton (Wolferstan, A and J) v Henderson (W S Gardner)	
CP 644 Hazell (Woodbridge and Sons) v Allen (Talbot and T)	

- C P 645 Bago (A H Brown) v North London Ry Co (Paine, Land C) SJ  
 C P 646 Pottrell (Cooke and J) v Parker and anr (Paterson, Sand B)  
 Q B 647 Pledge, trustee, &c (A R Steele) v Denbigh Water Works Co (W H Holland)  
 C P 648 Hannam (C A Cosedge) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfray)  
 Ex 649 Blake and ors (Lee and Pemberton) v Dear (R S Fraser)  
 Q B 650 Guyer (A G Dixon) v Emmott (In Person)  
 Chy 651 The Board of Works for the Poplar District (H W Farnfield) v The Limmer Asphaltic Paving Co, limd (G R Innes and Son)  
 Ex 652 Rowe (H H Wells) v Everitt (W R Philp)  
 Ex 653 Foster (J H Waring) v Martin (J Curtis)  
 Ex 654 Godfrey and Co (Robinson, P and S) v Gardner (Randall and A)  
 Ex 655 Stogdon (W D Dowding) v Hopcraft (E D Lewis)  
 Ex 656 Evans and Wife (Evans and J) v Jones (E Crammond)  
 Ex 657 Sherman and Wife (W O Reader) v Great Northern Ry Co (Nelson, B and N)  
 Q B 658 The Queen on the prosecution of Humann (Humphreys and Son) v Wythes (Johnson, U and Co)  
 Q B 659 Same (Same) v Same (Same)  
 Q B 660 Hutchinson (B Hutchinson) v Glover (H Radcliffe) without jury  
 Chy 661 Porter (W H Tatham) v West and ors (F C Tudor)  
 C P 662 Secar (Woutner and Sons) v Levy (Harper and Co)  
 Q B 663 Pinder (Clapham and F) v Patrick and Son (G W Barnard)  
 C P 664 Gardiner (H Aird) v Gill (Singleton and T)  
 C P 665 Rothwell (Same) v Craske (May, S and B)  
 Q B 666 Minter (A R Steele) v Bath and anr (S T Cooper)  
 Q B 667 Suttie (Same) v Longley (Duncan and Co)  
 Ex 668 Corkhill (J H Lydall) v Lambert and ors (Morten and C)  
 Ex 669 Johnson (D E Chandler) v White (Rooks and Co)  
 C P 670 King and anr (Noon and C) v Child (Gash and P)  
 Ex 671 Westbrook (R C Hanrott) v Howell (T Allingham)  
 Q B 672 Porter (A B Spaul) v Bushby, Harrison and Co (G B Howard)  
 Ex 673 First National Bank of Chicago (Ingle, C and H) v McCulloch (J Hands) SJ  
 Ex 674 Ruxton (Peacock and G) v South-Eastern Railway Co (W R Stevens)  
 Ex 675 Secar (Morten and C) v Smith (E Jukes)  
 Ex 676 Duck (H N Capel) v Ellis (W J Foster)  
 Q B 677 Clapham (F G Fitch) v Cornish the younger and Giles (J Hopkins)  
 Q B 678 Ellis and Sons (Druce, Sons and J) v B Jacobs and Sons (Farnfield)  
 C P 679 Gilbert (Stollard and W) v Tippings (G Crafter)  
 C P 680 Stollard (E M Whiting) v Same (Same)  
 Q B 681 Andre (E H Smith) v Spoon (Stocken and J)  
 Ex 682 Holmes (Peacock and G) v Walker (Woutner and Sons) SJ  
 Q B 683 Upton (W R Preston) v Sprange (J W Heritage) SJ  
 C P 684 Gannett (F W Mount) v Polham (Williamson, H and Co)  
 C P 685 Ellis and Co (Wild, B and W) v Maughan and Co (Heath and P)  
 Q B 686 Goldschmidt and anr (J R Macarthur) v Ecclesiastical Commissioners and ors (White, B and Co; Few and Co; Willoughby and W)  
 Ex 687 Turner (Walter Jarvis and T) Currie (H H Hughes)  
 Q B 688 Thompson and Wright (Bellamy, S and Co) v Henley (Gedge and Co) SJ  
 Ex 689 Hawkins (Bordman and Co) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfray)  
 Ex 690 Holland and anr (Thomson, S and B) v Robinson (W Moon)  
 Q B 691 Minch (H Henderson) v Goodlake (F L Soames)  
 Q B 692 Same (Same) v Spottiswoode and Co (Freshfields and W)  
 Ex 693 Day (Bordman and Co) v Gordon and Co (Lucas and S)  
 Ex 694 Elliot (Same) v O'Sullivan (Brooks and Son)  
 Ex 695 Nightingale (G F Parker and Co) v Lewisham Dist Bd of Wks (S Edwards)  
 Ex 696 Lathbury (Hunters, G and H) v Balding (Chapman and B)  
 C P 697 Basham (Moresby-White and J) v Sanders (Noon and C)  
 C P 698 Kirby (F Norton) v Oliver (Walker and W)  
 C P 699 Conrad (A P Oldershaw) v Mumford (Young and Sons)  
 Q B 700 Miller (W Morley) v Cousins (Micklethwait and Co)  
 Ex 701 Nesham (R Furber) v Gyll and ors (C J Davis)  
 C P 702 Barrow (J Harrison) v Pike (J P Bigginden)  
 C P 703 Rickett (Lovell, Son and P) v Holdam (G Reader)  
 Ex 704 Dawson (Ingle, C and H) v Toy (Moresby-White and J)  
 Ex 705 Knoefel (Crowther and W) v Muller (A S Godfrey)  
 Q B 706 Bouverie-Campbell (G E Philbrick) v Smyth (In Person)  
 Q B 707 Maple (Lumley and L) v Hutchinson (Morten and C)  
 C P 708 Lessey (Field, R and Co) v Bockett (Hebburn, Son and C)  
 Ex 709 Haynes (H H Wells) v Rafferty (Kingsford and Co)  
 Ex 710 S Anderson and Sons (W and J Flowers and N) v Hutchinson and Co (J H Lee)  
 Q B 711 Sandeman (Harrison, B and H) v Harrison and ors (W Rawlins)  
 Ex 712 Tompkins (Digby and L) v Wheeler (Haynes and Sons)  
 C P 713 Treby and anr (Whitakers and W) Jeffery (Sharpe, P, P and S)  
 Ex 714 Bonnie (Bordman and Co) v London, Tilbury and Southend Ry Co (Hollingsworth and Co)  
 Ex 715 Marks (Remnant, Penley and G) v Rigg (J H Russell) SJ  
 C P 716 Balls (H Aird) v Gibley (W R Preston)  
 Q B 717 Hughes and ors (R Lowe) v Hooman and Co (Kisch, Son and H)  
 B 718 Spurin (Preston and Co) v Fisher and anr (Gregory R and Co)

Mr. M. C. Buszard, Q.C., M.P., and Mr. W. Grantham, Q.C., M.P., have been elected Benchers of the Hon. Society of the Inner Temple, in the places of the late Mr. John Locke, Q.C., and the late Mr. G. Boden, Q.C.

### SALE OF ENSUING WEEK.

May 12—Messrs. FAREBROTHER, ELLIS, CLARK, & Co., at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Ground-Rents, Freehold Property, and Policies (see advertisement this week, p. 8.)

Messrs. Matheson & Co. are authorized to receive subscriptions for £2,500,000 mortgage bonds of the Rio Tinto Company (Limited). Interest five per cent., payable quarterly in London or Paris. The issue price is 89, payable by instalments extending to August 14. The bonds are redeemable at par by half-yearly drawings extending over thirty-three years. The payment of the principal and interest of the bonds is specially secured by a general mortgage over the whole of the existing property of the company, both real and personal, including the Rio Tinto Mines, the railway therefrom to Huelva, and the pier at that port; subject, only as respects the mines, to the Spanish Coupon Five per Cent. Bonds, the whole of which will be extinguished in July, 1895. The object of the present issue is the repayment of the existing seven per cent. bonds, and the consolidation of the floating debt. The company's net revenue for 1879 amounted to £459,000, of which the service of the coupon bonds absorbed £174,000. The seven per cent. bonds issued in 1875 will be paid off on the 1st of June next, or will be taken in payment for subscription to the present issue. The company reserve to themselves the right, at any time after the expiration of ten years, to pay off at par the whole of the bonds then outstanding. The subscription list will be opened on Monday, the 10th inst., and be closed on Tuesday, the 11th.

### BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

#### BIRTHS.

CASERLEY.—May 1, at 42, Tollington-park, N., the wife of S. W. Caserley, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.

PATTON.—May 1, at 21, West Cromwell-road, South Kensington, the wife of F. J. Patton, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.

#### MARRIAGE.

BARDWELL—GARNETT-BOTFIELD.—April 29, at Shifnal, T. N. F. Bardwell, M.A., barrister-at-law, of the Inner Temple, to Lucy Sophia Garnett-Botfield, daughter of the Rev. W. B. Garnett-Botfield, of Decker-hill, in the county of Salop.

#### DEATHS.

ANDREWS.—April 28, at Heavitree House, near Exeter. Biggs Andrews, Q.C., one of the masters of the bench of the Hon. Society of the Middle Temple and the last commissioner of bankrupts for the Exeter District, aged 85.

CROMBIE.—April 29, at 23, Girdler's-road, Brook Green, W., Lewis Crombie, solicitor.

HOBBS.—April 30, at Stratford-upon-Avon, Robert Hiorne Hobbs, solicitor, aged 79.

HUNTER.—April 29, at Maderis, William Frederick Hunter, of Hafton, advocate and barrister-at-law, aged 38.

WALKER.—May 3, at Norton-juxta-Kempsey, Worcestershire, George James Alexander Walker, a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant of the county, aged 90.

### LONDON GAZETTES.

#### BANKRUPT.

FRIDAY, April 30, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Ashby, Charles Aaron, Wilton ter, Shepherd's Bush, Clerk. Pet Apr 26. Murray. May 14 at 11  
 Carrell, Thomas, Queen st, Paper Dealer. Pet Apr 27. Murray. May 14 at 12

Stoker, Matthew, South Shields, Tea Dealer. Pet Apr 29. Hartlett. May 12 at 12.30  
 Underhill, John Edward, Gloucester st, Pimlico, Commission Agent. Pet Apr 28. Brougham. May 12 at 11.30

To Surrender in the Country.

Hawkins, Elias, Southtown, Suffolk, Tramway Manager. Pet Apr 27. Worleidge. St Yarmouth, May 12 at 11  
 Lumley, Henry, Flaxton, York, Farmer. Pet Apr 27. Perkins. York. May 11 at 10

McMillan, Robert, Darlington, Durham, Draper. Pet Apr 23. Crosby. Stockton-on-Tees, May 14 at 11  
 Moore, Samuel, Little Milton, Oxford, Physician. Pet Apr 26. Watson. Aylesbury, May 19 at 11

TUESDAY, May 4, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.  
 To Surrender in London.

Reya, Charles, Little Britain, Skin Merchant. Pet Apr 26. Hazlitt. May 26 at 12

To Surrender in the Country.

Myall, James, Newcastle-under-Lyme, Innkeeper. Pet Apr 26. Tenant. Hanley, May 16 at 11  
 Miller, Henry, Sheffield, Gent. Pet Apr 29. Wake. Sheffield, May 19 at 1

BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

FRIDAY, Apr 30, 1880.

Hall, Herbert Byng, Petersham, Queen's Messenger. Apr 13  
 Freedy, George, Mayfield rd, Dalston, Carpenter. Apr 26  
 Freedy, George, and Henry Freedy, York pl, Kingsland, Builders. Apr 26

TUESDAY, May 4, 1880.

Stone, Mary Langdon, Devizes, Wilt. Apr 29

Liquidations by Arrangement.

FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, April 30, 1880.

Alderson, George, West Hartlepool, Durham, Stone Mason. May 19 at 3 at offices of Simpson, Church st, West Hartlepool  
 Allen, William, Guildhawk rd, Shepherd's Bush, Butcher. May 10 at 2 at offices of Robinson and Leslie, Coleman st. Harrison, Pancras lane  
 Armstrong, John, Southport, Lancaster, Boot Maker. May 13 at 11 at offices of Best, London st, Southport  
 Barnes, Thomas, Hulme, Lancaster, Greengrocer. May 13 at 3 at the Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yard, Manchester. Sims, Manchester  
 Batty, Arthur William, Sussex pl, Onslow sq, Stationer. May 13 at 12 at Ashley's Covent Garden Hotel, Henrietta st. Buton and Co, Henrietta st  
 Beeston, Richard, Birmingham, Corn Dealer. May 11 at 11 at offices of Parr, Corn row, Birmingham  
 Bell, William, West Hartlepool, Durham, Joiner. May 12 at 3 at offices of Bell and Son, Church st, West Hartlepool  
 Bewley, William, Penwith, Cumberland, Root Maher. May 14 at 3 at the George Hotel, Penrith. Wannop, Carlisle  
 Binney, William, Fosgate, York, Fish Dealer. May 12 at 3 at offices of Crumlie, Stonegate, York  
 Boardman, Grace, Machynlleth, Montgomery, Innkeeper. May 12 at 2 at the Glyndwr Hotel, Machynlleth. Rowlands, Machynlleth  
 Bowra, Arthur Frank, Sevenoaks, Kent, Upholsterer. May 21 at 2 at offices of Holcroft and Machel, Sevenoaks  
 Bradfield, Daniel, Calne, Wilt, Coal Dealer. May 13 at 2 at the Great Western Hotel, Chippenham. Henly, Calne  
 Briancourt, George Arthur, Rochester row, Westminster, Baker. May 10 at 1 at offices of Doveton and Co, Rochester row, Westminster  
 Brassy, John, Birkenhead, Chester, Grocer. May 13 at 3 at offices of Greenway, Dale st, Liverpool  
 Breaks, Henry, Low Moor, near Bradford, York, Traveller. May 10 at 3 at the Commercial Hotel, Tyrril st, Bradford  
 Brooks, Silas, Derby, Provision Dealer. May 13 at 8 at offices of Hex-tall, Full st, Derby  
 Burkinshaw, George, Barnsley, York, Whitesmith. May 12 at 12 at offices of Gray, Eastgate, Barnsley  
 Chappell, Frederic, Bishops Stortford, Hertford, Grocer. May 12 at 12 at the George Hotel, Bishops Stortford, Baker and Thornycroft, Bishops Stortford  
 Clarke, Alfred Henry, Bristol, Decorator. May 7 at 3 at offices of Brown, Corn st, Bristol  
 Corringham, Richard, Stroud, Kent, Watchmaker. May 19 at 3 at the King's Head Hotel, High st, Rochester. Shakespear, Budge row, Cannon st  
 Cowell, Henry, Higham, Kent, Barge Owner. May 18 at 3 at offices of Basset, Eastgate, Rochester  
 Cox, Henry, Leicester, Builder. May 12 at 3 at offices of Loseby and Co, Market pl, Leicester  
 Craig, Andrew, North Shields, Cocoa Room Proprietor. May 13 at 2 at offices of Kidd, Norfolk st, North Shields  
 Crowther, Charles Thompson, Great Grimsby, Ship Chandler. May 11 at 11 at offices of Grange and Wimringham, St Mary's chambers, West St Mary's gate, Great Grimsby  
 Davies, Emma, Fryside, Carmarthen, Publican. May 23 at 12 at offices of Morris, Quay st, Carmarthen  
 Dunne, George, Doctave villa, Teddington, Clerk. May 8 at 2 at offices of Mackethwaite and Co, Long acre  
 Edmunds, Henry, Hungerford, Berks, Farmer. May 14 at 2.30 at the Three Swans Hotel, Hungerford. Dixon  
 Firman, Arthur, Bury St Edmunds, Carpenter. May 13 at 2 at offices of Partridge and Greene, Crown st, Bury St Edmunds  
 Foster, Thomas, Milwich, Stafford, Butcher. May 11 at 11 at offices of Bowen, Martin st, Stafford  
 Foster, William, Brown st, Bryanston sq, Fishmonger. May 12 at 12 at offices of Sampson, Marlbone rd  
 Giles, Henry, Birmingham, Painter. May 13 at 11 at offices of Ryder, New st, Birmingham  
 Giles, Robert, King William st, Auctioneer. May 20 at 12 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Taylor and Co, Great James st, Bedford row  
 Glover, John, Jun, Sevenoaks, Kent, Plumber. May 13 at 2 at offices of Pitman and Smart, Guildhall chambers, Basinghall st  
 Goodingham, Henry Brimble, Swanses, Painter. May 13 at 3 at 17, York pl, Swanses. Beor, Jun  
 Grindle, William, Doeham, Gloucester, Collier. May 10 at 3 at the Lion Hotel, High st, Cinderford  
 Guest, Ebenezer, Kiddeminsler, Worcester, Rate Collector. May 12 at 3 at offices of Corbet and Co, Church st, Kidderminster

Halestrap, Edgar Sam, Newbury, Berks, Licensed Victualler. May 20 at 1 at Wheatsheaf Hotel, Reading. Biggden  
 Hart, Robert Patrick, Tavistock st, Covent Garden, Money Lender. May 13 at 3 at offices of Dubois, Sergeant's Inn, Chancery lane. Maynard, Clifford's Inn  
 Hawkins, Ernest, Hungerford, Berks, Grocer. May 13 at 12 at St Western Hotel, Reading. Parker and Wilkins, High Wycombe  
 Hearn, William, High Wycombe, Buckingham, Boot Maker. May 13 at 1 at 13, New Bridge st. Clarke, High Wycombe  
 Hewitt, John, Dewsbury, York, Carrier. May 12 at 2 at offices of Scholes and Son, Leeds rd, Dewsbury  
 Hoar, John, Watlington, Oxford, out of business. May 21 at 3 at 25, High st, High Wycombe. Rawson, St Marlow  
 Hodsman, Joseph, Deansgate, Manchester, Leather Dealer. May 12 at 4 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral gates, Manchester. Pensonby and Carlile, Oldham  
 Howells, Samuel, Llanfihangel, Cardigan, Innkeeper. May 10 at 2 at offices of Lloyd, High st, Lampeter  
 Howroyd, Bethia, Oaset-cum-Gawthorpe, York, Grocer. May 17 at 3 at offices of Haigh and Co, Bood st, Dewsbury  
 Hughes, Henry Casswell, Bicker, Lincoln, out of business. May 15 at 11 at offices of Peake and Co, Sleaford  
 Illingworth, Abraham, Pudsey, York, Grocer. May 10 at 11 at offices of Wright, Darley st, Bradford  
 Jackson, Robert Butterworth, and Theophilus Jackson, Manchester, Manufacturers. May 14 at 3 at offices of Rankinson, Queen's chambers, John Dalton st, Manchester  
 James, William Henry, Bristol, Wine and Spirit Merchant. May 12 at 2 at offices of Williams and Co, the Exchange, Bristol. Brittan and Co, Bristol  
 Jefferies, Augustus William, Minchinhampton, Gloucester, Cabinet Maker. May 12 at 2 at offices of Kearney and Parsons, Russell st, Stroud  
 Keddle, Edell, Llanvaplwy, Menmouth, Farmer. May 13 at 3 at offices of Browne, Lion st, Abergavenny  
 Keenan, James, Cardiff, Glamorgan, Publican. May 13 at 3 at offices of Tribe and Co, Crookherbtown, Cardiff. Stephens, Cardiff  
 Kempell, John, Windfold, Berks, Builder. May 21 at 1 at the Guildhall Coffee house, Gresham st  
 Kendall, George, Exeter, Dairyman. May 11 at 3 at the Castle Hotel, Castle st, Exeter. Flood, Exeter  
 Kenworthy, Richard, Eastrie, York, Cab Proprietor. May 14 at 3 at the offices of Bates, Bradford rd, Brighouse  
 King, Charles Dawson, Northampton, Accountant. May 13 at 11 at offices of Howes and Percival, Abington st, Northampton  
 King, Samuel, Ross, Hereford, Pianoforte Seller. May 14 at 12 at the Hummums Hotel, Piazzas, Covent Garden. Williams, Ross  
 Lecomber, Robert, Wood st, Bethnal Green rd, Cabinet Maker. May 14 at 2 at offices of Leekyer, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st  
 Ling, Alice Hase, Lowestoft, Suffolk, Boot Maker. May 20 at 3 at offices of Chater, London rd, Lowestoft  
 Loher, John James, East rd, Hoxton, Baker. May 7 at 10.30 at the Mitre Tavern, Mitre ct, Temple. Staniland, North rd, Highgate  
 Lord, William, James Lord, and Simeon Lord, Bolton, Lancaster, Cotton Spinners. May 13 at 11 at offices of Dowling and Urry, Wood st, Bolton  
 Lowe, Walter, Birmingham, Jeweller. May 12 at 3 at offices of Jaques, Temple row, Birmingham  
 Marden, Luke, Hunstet, Leeds, out of business. May 12 at 3 at offices of Lodge, Park row, Leeds  
 McJorran, Samuel, West Derby, Lancashire, Provision Dealer. May 14 at 12.30 at offices of Bremner and Co, Dale st, Liverpool  
 Meller, George, Doncaster, York, Brush Manufacturer. May 26 at 11 at offices of Ashwell, Baxtergate, Doncaster  
 Moore, John George, Leamington, Estate Agent. May 13 at 12 at the Manor House Hotel, Leamington. Davies, Birmingham  
 Morgan, David, Cardiff, Grocer. May 15 at 11 at offices of Hern, St Mary st, Cardiff  
 Morgan, Frank Montague, Cardiff, Merchant. May 17 at 2 at offices of Inglefield and Ince, Fenchurch st, London  
 Moss, David, New Bethnal Green rd, Picture Frame Maker. May 20 at 2 at offices of Pannell and Co, Basinghall st. Brown, Basinghall st  
 Muller, John, Regent circus, Oxford rd, Watch Maker. May 14 at 12 at offices of Samson, Marybone rd  
 Nicholl, William, Halifax, Woollen Draper. May 12 at 11 at offices of Leeming, Westgate, Halifax  
 Nixon, Thomas, Staggery, Northumberland, Cartwright. May 13 at 11.30 at the Manor House Inn, Halwhistle. Wannop, Carlisle  
 Notcutt, William Ebenezer, Ryde, Isle of Wight, Earthenware Dealer. May 19 at 1 at offices of Poole, Bartholomew close. Joyce  
 Overden, Edwin, Liverpool, Bookseller. May 19 at 3 at offices of Taylor and Co, Victoria st, Liverpool. Jordan, Liverpool  
 Pattison, Thomas, Joseph Waugh, and John Wilson, Cardiff, Colliery Store Merchants. May 13 at 12 at the Queen's Hotel, Newport. Gibbs and Lilwell, Newport  
 Peddow, Frederick Charles, Rattlesden, Suffolk, Farmer. May 29 at 11 at offices of Gudgeon, Bury st, Stowmarket  
 Peck, Thomas William, St Ives, Huntingdon, Plumber. May 12 at 11 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Day, St Ives  
 Playne, Edwin, King's Norton, Worcester, Wine Merchant. May 12 at 3 at offices of Fallows, Cherry st, Birmingham  
 Rath, Adolph Lidor, Blackburn, Lancaster, Manufacturer of Waterproof Goods. May 12 at 3 at offices of Reedham, Exchange st, Blackburn  
 Reece, William Martin, Whitcombe st, Leicester sq, Batinghouse Keeper. May 10 at 11 at the Star of the West Restaurant, St Martin's st, Leicester sq  
 Rigby, Joseph, Manchester, Plumber. May 25 at 3 at offices of Diggles and Ogden, Booth st, Manchester  
 Roberts, Charles Alfred, Falmouth, Cornwall, Erick Merchant. May 12 at 3 at offices of Jenkins, Post office buildings, Falmouth  
 Robson, John Theakston, Middlesbrough, Iron Merchant. May 20 at 11 at offices of Ward, Albert rd, Middlesbrough  
 Rogers, John, Thrapston, Northampton, Grocer. May 11 at 12 at the Peacock Hotel, Market sq, Northampton. Andrew, Northampton  
 Rogers, William John, Bethnal green rd, Lath Render. May 8 at 3 at 40, Bishopsgate st without



Rowland, Thomas, Loftus-in-Cleveland, York, Grocer. May 12 at 11 at offices of Hall, Albert rd, Middleborough.

Salmon, Leopold Arthur, Peterborough, Northampton, Stationer's Sundryman. May 12 at 11 at offices of Hart, Priestgate, Peterborough.

Salter, William, Compton Bassett, Wilts, Farmer. May 15 at 11 at the Angel Hotel, Chippenham. Keary and Co, Chippenham.

Sandford, Sandford, Radcliffe Bridge, Lancashire, Hosier. May 13 at 3 at offices of Marlow, Cross st, Manchester.

Skelton, William John, Bishops Stortford, Hertford, Bed Manufacturer. May 10 at 3 at 145, Cheapside, Ashurst and Co, Old Jewry.

Skyrme, Llangan, Carmarthen, Farmer. May 15 at 11 at offices of Lascelles, Northwich.

Spanton, William, East Dereham, Norfolk, Plumber. May 13 at 2.30 at the King's Head Hotel, Norwich st, East Dereham. Kent, Northwich.

Stainby, William, Hartlepool, Durham, Post Master. May 11 at 11 at offices of Todd, Surtees st, West Hartlepool.

Stock, Frederick, Soundwell, Gloucestershire, Builder. May 12 at 12 at offices of Moores, Nicholas st, Bristol.

Summers, Edward, Hurworth, Durham, Farmer. May 5 at 12 at the North Eastern Hotel, York. Robinson.

Sutcliffe, Samuel, Leeds, Joiner. May 14 at 1 at offices of Rooks and Midgley, White Horse st, Boar lane, Leeds.

Sutherland, Kenneth Thomas, and Ernest Richmond, Manchester, Chemical Manufacturers. May 10 at 11 at offices of Sutcliffe and Ireland, Booth st, Manchester. Brinliff, Manchester.

Tattersall, Robert, Loxells, Birmingham, Assurance Agent. May 13 at 11 at offices of Morris, Kidderminster st, Stourbridge.

Tifen, Charles Hart, Hove, Sussex, Wine Merchant. May 15 at 11 at 145, Cheapside, Goodman, Brighton.

Townshend, Benjamin, and Thomas Cropton, High Holborn, Grocers. May 14 at 2 at the Cannon st Hotel, Cannon st. Linklater and Co, Walsbrook.

Tyler, John, Wood st, Westminster, Builder. May 19 at 2 at the Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st. Gregory, Cannon st.

Tytherleigh, William James, Kempford, Gloucester, Grocer. May 14 at 12 at offices of Kinneir and Tams, Corn Exchange, High st, Swindon.

Walt, Walter, Watford, Northampton, Farmer. May 15 at 3 at offices of Roche, St Giles st, Northampton.

Wall, Edward Richard, Lye Waste, Worcester, Miner. May 12 at 3 at offices of Morris, Kidderminster st, Stourbridge.

Ward, John Cliffe, Hanley rd, Hornsey Rise, Boot and Shoe Dealer. May 19 at 3 at the Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st. Kivington, Walsbrook.

Whitehouse, William, Heywood, Lancaster, Clogger. May 18 at 3 at the Queen Anne Hotel, Market place, Heywood. Worth, Rochdale.

Whitworth, Thomas, Ossett, York, Commission Agent. May 14 at 10 at offices of Ridgway and Ridgway, Union st, Dewsbury.

Williams, Roger, Cheltenham, Tailor. May 14 at 3 at offices of Winterbotham and Co, Essex pl, Cheltenham.

Williams, William, Abersychan, Monmouth, General Ironmonger. May 12 at 12.30 at offices of Duncey, Albion chambers, Newport.

Williamson, John, Kirby st, Hatton Garden, Gas Stove Manufacturer. May 12 at 3 at offices of Graham, Ely pl, Holborn.

Willott, John Ross, and Dan French Davis, Liverpool, General Merchants. May 13 at 3 at offices of Fildes, North John st, Liverpool.

Wilson, James, Coventry, Bricklayer. May 13 at 11 at offices of Goate, Priory row, Coventry.

Winckworth, Henry Richmond, Millbrook, Southampton, Auctioneer. May 13 at 2 at offices of Davis, Portland st, Southampton. Gay, Southampton.

Wyatt, Edward, Bristol, Corset Manufacturer. May 24 at 2.30 at offices of Collins, Broad st, Bristol. Salmon, Bristol.

## TUESDAY, May 4, 1880.

Adelson, Rueber, Bell lane, Spitalfields, Grocer. May 18 at 4 at offices of Cattlin, Wormwood st, Old Broad st.

Aule, John, Longton, Stafford, Tailor. May 13 at 11 at offices of Young, High st, Longton.

Askew, John, Liscard, Chester, Saddler. May 17 at 3 at offices of Williams, James st, Liverpool.

Baxter, Samuel, Goole, Fruit Merchant. May 17 at 3 at offices of Pease, Goole. Hind and Everett, Goole.

Bean, John Stuart, Kingston-upon-Hull, Malster. May 13 at 12 at offices of Walker and Spink, Parliament st, Kingston-upon-Hull.

Bentley, John, Cleckheaton, York, Flannel Manufacturer. May 14 at 3 at offices of Clough, Railway st, Cleckheaton.

Braga, Jose Fernandes Marques, Liverpool, Merchant. May 19 at 2 at offices of Harwood and Co, North John st, Liverpool. Simpson and North, Liverpool.

Bragg, Isaac, Horlake, Chester, out of business. May 24 at 3 at offices of Thompson, Hamilton st, Birkenhead.

Bray, Mary Hannah, Salford, Provision Dealer. May 27 at 3 at offices of Digby and Ordren, Booth st, Manchester.

Brown, John, Newcastle-under-Lyme, Boot Dealer. May 15 at 11 at offices of Griffith, Lad lane, Newcastle-under-Lyme.

Burgess, Thomas, Crewe, Chester, Grocer. May 15 at 11 at Dairy House Farm, Gorsly hill, near Crewe. Pointon, Crewe.

Carter, Simon, Darlington, Stafford, Iron Bridge Manufacturer. May 19 at 11 at offices of Slater and Marshall, Butcrof, Darlington.

Caughey, Alexander Sloane, Pontefract, York, Architect. May 20 at 12 at offices of Richards, Market pl, Pontefract.

Clarke, Samuel, Nechells, Birmingham, out of business. May 14 at 3 at offices of Buller and Bickley, Bennetts' hill, Birmingham.

Clarke, William, Effra parade, Brixton, Oil and Colour Man. May 19 at 2.30 at the New Market Hotel, King st, Snow hill.

Collins, Charlotte, Enstone, Oxford, Grocer. May 18 at 11 at the Fox Hotel, Chipping Norton. Kilby and Mace, Chipping Norton.

Conn, William, Cardiff, Draper. May 19 at 11 at offices of Morgan and Scott, High st, Cardiff.

Cooke, George, Southam, Warwick, Innkeeper. May 15 at 2.30 at the Bath Hotel, Leamington Priory. Davies.

Cookson, John, Stratford, Lancaster, Farmer. May 25 at 3 at No. 40, Kennedy st, Manchester. Fox, Manchester.

Cope, William Nathan Sykes, Jan, Liverpool, Coach Builder. May 19 at 3 at offices of Bartlett, Dale st, Liverpool.

Cripps, James, Castle st, Finsbury, Upholsterer. May 13 at 2 at offices of Parke, Warwick st, Gray's inn.

Davies, Margaretta, Bath, Confectioner. May 18 at 2 at offices of Collins and Son, Abbey churchyard, Bath.

Davis, Tom, Pokesdown, Southampton, Coal Merchant. May 14 at 3 at offices of Davis, Adelaide chambers, Adelaide ter, Bournemouth.

Dean, Alfred Amos, Melton Mowbray, Leicester, Hairdresser. May 16 at 11 at offices of Wright, Gallowwires gate, Bristol.

Dixon, William, Durham, Grocer. May 14 at 11.30 at the Half Moon Hotel, New Elvet, Durham. Brignal, Durham.

Dunn, John, Bradford, York, Grocer. May 11 at 10 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel st, Bradford.

Dunstan, John James, Torre, Torquay, of no occupation. May 15 at 11 at offices of Floud, Castle st, Exeter.

Edwards, Joseph, Crane grove, Holloway rd, Wheelwright. May 13 at 4 at 67, Chancery lane. Marshall.

Eeles, John, Charlbury, Oxford, Grocer. May 19 at 11 at the Fox Hotel, Chipping Norton. Kilby and Mace, Chipping Norton.

Fallowfield, Robert, Martindale, Westmoreland, Farmer. May 17 at 3 at 28, Southend st, Penrith. Cant.

Fawcett, William, Northgate, Wakefield, Innkeeper. May 20 at 3 at the Royal Hotel, Wood st, Wakefield. Lodge, Wakefield.

Fielding, Jonas, Bradford, York, Cott n Warp Dyer. May 19 at 11 at the Alexander Hotel, Horton rd, Bradford. Berry and Robinson, Bradford.

Forss, George Thomas, Old Kent rd, Cheesemonger. May 27 at 2 at offices of Nash and Field, Queen st, Queen Victoria at.

Fox, Henry, Nottingham, Licensed Victualler. May 20 at 12 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham.

Friendship, William James, Markham pl, Tottenham, Draper. May 20 at 3 at offices of Lovering and Co, Gresham st. Rumney, Walsbrook.

Frost, Charles, Hornchurch, Essex, Wheelwright. May 18 at 12 at offices of Preston, Mark lane.

Gaimes, Eleanor Mary, Long Ditton Hill, Hat Manufacturer. May 17 at 2 at offices of Ford and Edwards, Pinner's Hall, Old Broad st.

Galloway, Joseph, Bradford, York, Draper. May 14 at 3 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford.

Garlike, Edward William Bennett, Cheshunt, Hertford, Doctor of Medicine. May 21 at 2 at offices of Harper and Co, Road lane.

Gemmell, John, Walpole st, Sloane sq, out of business. May 19 at 12 at the Royal Hotel, Plymouth. Neave, Chapsdale.

Goodall, William, Lincoln, Stonemason. May 21 at 1 at offices of Dale, Benedict's sq, Lincoln.

Haigh, George, Gillington, York, Beerseller. May 14 at 11 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford.

Hammond, William, Shepherdswell, Kent, Farmer. May 15 at 1 at the Fleur-de-Lis Hotel, Canterbury. Mowll, Dover.

Hamon, Samuel Francois, Peckham park rd, Peckham, Oilman. May 13 at 3 at 40, Southampton buildings, Holborn. Cooper, Chancery lane.

Harrison, Thomas Buttery, Coatham, York, out of business. May 25 at 3 at offices of Wilkes, Northgate, Darlington.

Head, Walter, Eastbourne, Grocer. May 19 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Dearnle and Edgeworth, Eastbourne.

Higgins, Benjamin Wood, Oulton, near Leeds, Quarry Owner. May 19 at 3 at the Commercial Hotel, Albion st, Leeds. Lister, Wakefield.

Holmans, William Kerby, Ramsgate, Kent, Licensed Victualler. May 19 at 4 at Davis's Auction Mart, Margate. Sparkes, Ramsgate.

Hyde, Charles, Angel rd, Peckham Bre, Assistant to a Chemist. May 18 at 3 at 40, Southampton buildings, Holborn. Cooper, Chancery lane.

Jackson, James, Ashton-in-Makerfield, Lancaster, Builder. May 22 at 11 at offices of Lees, King st, Wigan.

Jones, Francis, Cradley, Worcester, Merchant's Clerk. May 13 at 11 at offices of Homer, High st, Brierly Hill.

Jones, George, Wilney, Oxford, Leather Seller. May 18 at 11.30 at offices of Milam, High st, Oxford.

Jones, John Thomas, Briggate, Halifax, Bricklayer. May 19 at 3 at the Black Swan Inn, Briggate, Briggate. Crayon and Sunderland, Briggate.

Kellett, John, Penrith, Cumberland, Hosier. May 14 at 2.30 at offices of Arnison, St Andrew's pl, Penrith.

Lewis, Margaret Ellinor, Carmarthen, Milliner. May 18 at 11 at offices of Morris, Quay st, Carmarthen.

Lewis, William Osborn, Birmingham, Manufacturing Jeweller. May 15 at 11 at offices of Plant, Cannon st, Birmingham.

Lord, William James Lord, and Simon Lord, Lancaster, Bolton, Cotton Spinners. May 17 at 12 at offices of Dowling and Urry, Wood st, Bolton.

Lucas, John, and Samuel Penkethman, Openshaw, Lancaster, Joiners. May 13 at 10.30 at offices of Boardman and Co, Fall Mall, Manchester. Ramwell and Co.

Mellor, William, Penistone, York, Builder. May 19 at 2.30 at the Wentworth Hotel, Penistone. Smith and Co, Sheffield.

Middleton, Percy, Leeds, Barrister-at-Law. May 15 at 11 at offices of Watson, 67 George st, Leeds.

Neave, Alfred, Kingston-upon-Hull, Provision Dealer. May 14 at 3 at offices of Singleton, Cogan's chambers, Bowalley lane, Hull.

Over, Frederick, Nuneaton, Warwick, Tailor. May 19 at 12 at offices of Bland, Bridge st, Nuneaton.

Pain, John, Bishopsgate st Without, Grocer. May 11 at 3 at offices of Smith, Cornhill.

Pike, Samuel Witherell, Ramsgate, Accountant. May 15 at 10 at the Pantechnicon Offices, Camden rd, Ramsgate.

Pinns, George Isaac de, Grosvenor rd, Highbury New pk, out of business. May 20 at 3 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Chorley and Co, Moorgate st.

Porter, Henry Charles, Median rd, Lower Clapton, Als and Spirit Dealer. May 26 at 2 at offices of Nash and Field, Queen st, Queen Victoria at.

Pyne, Alfred, Newport, Monmouth, Grocer. May 15 at 10 at offices of David, Cambria chambers, Tredegar pl, Newport.

Rampton, Thomas, Bath, Butcher. May 14 at 11 at offices of Bartrum and Bartlett, Northumberland bldgs, Bath.

Rawlings, William, Battersea pk rd, Furniture Dealer. May 14 at 3 at  
 offices of Blewitt and Tyler, Gracechurch st.  
 Richardson, Joseph Ferguson, Castle Bytham, Lincoln, Farmer. May  
 18 at 11 at offices of Thompson and Co, Barn hill, Stamford  
 Riches, James, Palling-next-the-Sea, Norfolk, Farmer. May 20 at 3 at  
 offices of Wiltshire, Hall plain, Great Yarmouth  
 Ridd, Richard, Bickington, Devon, out of business. May 21 at 12 at  
 offices of Thorne, Castle st, Barnstaple  
 Roberts, Henry, Depford, Kent, Beer Retailer. May 13 at 1 at offices  
 of Ody, Blackman st, Southwark  
 Robinson, Howitt, Nottingham, Boot Maker. May 14 at 3.30 at offices  
 of Morland, St Swin's lane  
 Rosenthal, Alfred, Falcon sq, Trimming Manufacturer. May 19 at 3 at  
 17, Ely pl, Holborn. Graham  
 Scrimshaw, Charles, Billesdon, Leicester, Farmer. May 18 at 12 at  
 offices of Fowler and Co, Grey Friar chambers, Friar Lane Leicester  
 Shepherd, Joseph, Rochdale, Lancaster, Flock Dealer. May 19 at 3 at  
 offices of Standing and Taylor, King st, Rochdale  
 Smith, John Brown, East Dereham, Norfolk, Farmer. May 24 at 11 at  
 the Broad Face Hotel, Reading. Creed  
 Snelson, Samuel, A-hby-de-la-Zonch, Leicester, out of business. May  
 13 at 12 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham  
 St. Leger, Martin, Macclesfield, Bookseller. May 25 at 3 at the Pack  
 Horse Inn, Jordan gate, Macclesfield. Snape, Macclesfield  
 Stokes, Frederick, Walsall, Stafford, Buckle Maker. May 14 at 3 at the  
 "Stork Hotel, Walsall. East, Birmingham  
 Stretch, Demetrius, Fore st, Cripplegate, Furnishing Undertaker. May  
 20 at 2 at offices of Hindson and Co, Moorgate st  
 Strong, Anthony, Barrow-in-Furness, Licensed Victualler and Ferry-  
 man. May 15 at 11 at Trevelyan Temperance Hotel, Church st,  
 Barrow-in-Furness. Sims, Barrow-in-Furness  
 Svan, Annie, Hulme, Lancaster, Stationer. May 18 at 3 at offices of  
 Edwards and Jones, Brazennose st, Manchester  
 Sweet, John, Kingeland High st, Draper. May 20 at 2 at offices of  
 Lydall, Southampton buildings, Chancery lane  
 Symons, David, Walworth rd, Clothier. May 14 at 12 at offices of  
 Medcalf, King st, Cheapside  
 Taylor, Henry Frederick Hudson, Croydon, Surrey, Provision Merchant  
 May 12 at 3 at offices of Pratt and Co, Old Jewry Chambers. Davis,  
 Moorgate st  
 Thompson, Richard, Dearham, Cumberland, Innkeeper. May 18 at 11  
 at offices of O'Brien, Kirby st, Maryport  
 Thompson, William Mort, Piccadilly, Dealer in Works of Art. May 13  
 at 3 at offices of Dod and Longstaffe, Berners st  
 Tordur, Cleon, Bishwearmouth, Durham, out of business. May 17  
 at 12 at offices of Tilley, West Sunnyside, Sunderland  
 Turner, Mary, Leeds, Grocer. May 15 at 11 at offices of Bointon, Old  
 Bank Chambers, Leeds  
 Twimlow, Christopher, Kidderminster, Butcher. May 13 at 4 at offices  
 of Crowthor, Bank buildings, Kidderminster  
 Walls, Thomas Nelson, Bishop Auckland, Printer. May 18 at 3 at  
 offices of Edgar, Silver st, Bishop Auckland  
 Warren, John Francis, Penny Stratford, Buckingham, Carpenter.  
 May 14 at 3 at 40, Southampton bldgs, Holborn, Cooper, Chancery  
 lane  
 White, Arthur, St Albans, Hertford, Hat Manufacturer. May 17  
 at 11 at offices of Leppard and Co, St Peter's st, St Albans,  
 Herts  
 Wood, John, Buraston, Salop, out of business. May 11 at 11.30 at the  
 Swan Hotel, Burford. Thersfield  
 Wood, Michael, Ryton Woodside, Durham, Farmer. May 13 at 2 at  
 offices of Gillespie Bros, Westgate rd, Newcastle-upon-Tyne. Sewell  
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne  
 Wood, William Henry, Sible Hedingham, Essex, Farmer. May 18  
 at 11 at the Bell Inn, Sible Hedingham. Holmes, Bocking  
 Wordsworth, Hewley Charles, and Arthur Hart, Sloate st, Knights-  
 bridge, Homeopathic Chemists. May 26 at 2 at offices of Pooley, Sloan  
 st, Knightsbridge

## SCHEWITZER'S COCOATINA,

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.

Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.

Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoas thickened yet weakened with starch, &c., and is REALITY CHEAPER than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 3s., 5s. 6d., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,

H. SCHEWITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

## MR. G. H. JONES,

SURGEON DENTIST,

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, LONDON

(Opposite the British Museum),

Will be glad to forward his Pamphlet on Painless Dentistry, free, enclosed by post, which explains the most unique system of the adjustment of ARTIFICIAL TEETH without pain.

CONSULTATION FREE FROM 10 TO 5.

## EDE AND SON

ROBE MAKERS



BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench Corporation of London, &c.

SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO.

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS, &c.  
 ESTABLISHED 1689.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

## RESTAURANT PARISIEN

(LATE MADME. JANET).

10, CASTLE-STREET, HOLBORN

(OPPOSITE THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY).

First-class Luncheon Bar for gentlemen in the neighbourhood. Public and Private Dining Rooms. Dinners for 3s. 6d., 4s. 6d., 5s. 6d., 7s. 6d., and 10s. 6d. Will be served in the Private Dining Rooms; no extra charge for room or pianoforte. Choicest Wines, Beer, and Spirits.—Proprietors, DORRIS BROTHERS.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND SOLICITORS.

## STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is undisturbed by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other than Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts of 4) ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

## The Companies Acts, 1862 to 1879.

Every requisite under the above Acts supplied on the shortest notice

The BOOKS and FORMS kept in stock for immediate use MEMORANDA and ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION speedily printed in the proper form for registration and distribution. SHARE CERTIFICATES, DEBENTURES, &c., engraved and printed. OFFICIAL SEALS designed and executed.

Solicitors' Account Books.

## RICHARD FLINT & CO.

Stationers, Printers, Engravers, Registration Agents, &c.,  
 49, FLEET-STREET, LONDON, E.C. (corner of Serjeants' Inn).

Annual and other Returns Stamped and Filed.

WASTE PAPER OLD PARCHMENT PURCHASED IN any quantities. Old

ledgers, newspapers, magazines, letters, invoices, &c. All papers destroyed, being reduced to pulp for re-manufacture. Vans collect daily within twelve miles of London.

Highest prices returned per P.O. Country parcels secure immediate attention.—

WASTE PAPER PHILLIPS, MILLS, & Co.'s Works, 3, Amberley Wharf, Paddington.

## KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Pure, Mild and Mellow, Delicious and most Wholesome. Universally recommended by the Profession. The Cream of Old Irish Whiskies.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Dr. Hassall says—"Soft and Mellow, Pure, well Matured, and of very excellent quality."

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Gold Medal, Paris Exhibition, 1875; Dublin Exhibition, 1885, the Gold Medal. 20, Great Titchfield Street, London, W.

## BRAND AND CO'S OWN SAUCE.

SOUPS, PRESERVED PROVISIONS,

POTTED MEATS and YORK and GAME PIES,

also ESSENCE OF BEEF, BEEF TEA,

TURTLE SOUP, and JELLY, and other

SPECIALITIES for INVALIDS.

CAUTION:—BEWARE OF IMITATIONS.

Sole Address

11, LITTLE STANHOPE STREET, MAYFAIR, W